

The burden of occupational cancer in Great Britain

Technical Annex 1: Leukaemia

Prepared by **Imperial College London** and
the **Health and Safety Laboratory**
for the Health and Safety Executive 2007

The burden of occupational cancer in Great Britain

Technical Annex 1: Leukaemia

Lesley Rushton & Sally Hutchings

Imperial College London

Department of Epidemiology and Public Health

Faculty of Medicine

St Mary's Campus

Norfolk Place

London W2 1PG

Terry Brown

Health and Safety Laboratory

Harpur Hill

Buxton SK17 9JN

The aim of this project was to produce an updated estimate of the current burden of occupational cancer specifically for Great Britain. The primary measure of the burden of cancer used was the attributable fraction (AF), ie the proportion of cases that would not have occurred in the absence of exposure. Data on the risk of the disease due to the exposures of interest, taking into account confounding factors and overlapping exposures, were combined with data on the proportion of the target population exposed over the period in which relevant exposure occurred. Estimation was carried out for carcinogenic agents or exposure circumstances that were classified by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) as Group 1 or 2A carcinogens with strong or suggestive human evidence. Estimation was carried out for 2004 for mortality and 2003 for cancer incidence for cancer of the bladder, leukaemia, cancer of the lung, mesothelioma, non-melanoma skin cancer (NMSC), and sinonasal cancer.

The proportion of cancer deaths in 2004 attributable to occupation was estimated to be 8.0% in men and 1.5% in women with an overall estimate of 4.9% for men plus women. Estimated numbers of deaths attributable to occupation were 6,259 for men and 1,058 for women giving a total of 7,317. The total number of cancer registrations in 2003 attributable to occupational causes was 13,338 for men plus women. Asbestos contributed the largest numbers of deaths and registrations (mesothelioma and lung cancer), followed by mineral oils (mainly NMSC), solar radiation (NMSC), silica (lung cancer) and diesel engine exhaust (lung and bladder cancer). Large numbers of workers were potentially exposed to several carcinogenic agents over the risk exposure periods, particularly in the construction industry, as farmers or as other agricultural workers, and as workers in manufacture of machinery and other equipment, manufacture of wood products, land transport, metal working, painting, welding and textiles. There are several sources of uncertainty in the estimates, including exclusion of other potential carcinogenic agents, potentially inaccurate or approximate data and methodological issues. On balance, the estimates are likely to be a conservative estimate of the true risk. Future work will address estimation for the remaining cancers that have yet to be examined, together with development of methodology for predicting future estimates of the occupational cancers due to more recent exposures.

This report and the work it describes were funded by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE). Its contents, including any opinions and/or conclusions expressed, are those of the authors alone and do not necessarily reflect HSE policy.

© Crown copyright 2007

First published 2007

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

Applications for reproduction should be made in writing to:
Licensing Division, Her Majesty's Stationery Office,
St Clements House, 2-16 Colegate, Norwich NR3 1BQ
or by e-mail to hmsolicensing@cabinct-office.x.gsi.gov.uk

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding was obtained from the Health and Safety Executive and managed through the Health and Safety Laboratories. We would like to thank Damien McElvenny for initiating the project and Gareth Evans for his management role. Andy Darnton from the HSE was responsible for the work on mesothelioma. The contributions to the project and advice received from many other HSE and HSL staff is gratefully acknowledged. Two workshops were held during the project bringing together experts from the UK and around the world. We would like to thank all those who participated and have continued to give advice and comment on the project.

CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	iii
1. Incidence and Trends	1
2. Overview of Aetiology	4
2.1. Introduction	4
2.2. Exposures	6
2.3. Occupations	9
3. Attributable Fraction Estimation	11
3.1. General Considerations	11
3.2. Benzene	13
3.3. Ionising Radiation	18
3.4. Ethylene oxide	21
3.5. Formaldehyde	23
3.6. 1,3-Butadiene	25
3.7. Non-Arsenical Pesticides	27
3.8. Electromagnetic fields	29
4. Overall attributable fraction	31
4.1. Comparison of exposure AFs	31
4.2. Exposure map	31
4.3. Overall AF	32
4.4. Summary of results	34
References	43

Table 25:

Occupational exposure	Electromagnetic fields		
<i>'Best study' for RR estimate</i>	Reference	Kheifets et al., (1997)	
	Type of study	<i>Meta-analysis</i>	
	Sex	<i>Male</i>	<i>Female</i>
	Exposure level	<i>High</i>	<i>High</i>
<i>Independent data:</i>	Industry Sectors	C-E	C-E
	LFS 1991 numbers exposed	708,729	21,936
	Annual employment turnover	0.09	0.14
	Numbers exposed in the REP (1985 - 2004)	1,817,452	80,806
<i>Proportion of the population exposed</i>		0.087	0.0041
<i>Relative risks</i>		1.18	1.18
<i>Attributable fraction</i>		0.015	0.00073
	'Random error' 95% confidence interval	[0.010 - 0.020]	[0.0005 - 0.0010]
<i>Attributable deaths</i>		35	1
<i>Attributable registrations</i>		57	2

