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PREFACE

The Health and Safety Commission (HSC) would like your comments on proposals to implement the second list of Indicative Occupational Exposure Limit Values (European Commission Directive 2006/15/EC).

A form is included at Appendix 4, at the back of this booklet to help you do this. It repeats the questions set out in the main text below (on pages 15-20). Please feel free to copy this consultative document more widely.

Further copies are available from the address on the back cover and on the Internet on the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) home page at:

http://www.hse.gov.uk/consult/live.htm

Acknowledgements:

HSC wishes to thank all those who have assisted HSC and HSE with the development of these proposals.

Why are we consulting you?

HSC seeks to inform its decision-making by consulting a wide range of interested bodies and individuals. HSC believes that this will enable an open and transparent approach to decision-making, which is essential if policies and decisions are to have widespread ownership and reflect the needs and aspirations of the people they will affect. HSC then decides on the best way forward based on an interpretation and analysis of the results of this exercise.

What we would like you to do:

We would like you to comment on these proposals by 27 September 2006. Please send your comments to:

Steve Mason
International Chemicals Unit
Health and Safety Executive
9SW Rose Court
2 Southwark Bridge
London SE1 9HS
Tel: 020 7717 6122
Fax: 020 7717 6891
e-mail: steve.mason@hse.gsi.gov.uk

If you reply to this consultative document in a personal capacity, rather than as a postholder of an organisation, you should be aware that information you provide may constitute “personal data” in the terms of the Data Protection Act 1998. For the purposes of this Act, HSE is the “data controller” and will process the data for health and safety and environmental...
purposes. HSE may disclose these data to any person or organisation for purposes for which it was collected, or where the Act allows disclosure.

You have the right to ask for a copy of the data and to ask for inaccurate data to be corrected. Please note all replies will be made public unless you specifically state you wish yours to be made confidential.

Responses in electronic form are welcome. Many business e-mail systems now automatically append a paragraph stating that the message is confidential. If you are sending your comments by e-mail please state clearly if you are not content for your response to be made public.

We have included a reply form at Appendix 4 summarising the areas where we would particularly welcome your views; it will also help us to analyse responses. It is not intended to restrict the scope of the comments: we would welcome any comments you wish to make on the proposals.

**What happens next?**

We will acknowledge all responses and give full consideration to the substance of arguments in the development of proposals; we may also contact you again if, for example, we have a query.

Respondents should be aware that the UK is bound to implement EU Directives in full, and this is, in part, what these draft Regulations are intended to do. There is therefore limited scope to amend the draft Regulations where they directly transpose Directive requirements.

**Making responses public:**

To make our consultation process as transparent as possible we make the comments we receive available to the public at our knowledge centre in Bootle. If you do not want your comments made publicly available please make this clear in your response. Copies will be made available at a small charge to cover costs, from the following address:

Knowledge Centre  
Health and Safety Executive  
1G Redgrave Court  
Merton Road  
Bootle  
Merseyside L20 7HS

**Feedback, queries and complaints:**

The Health and Safety Commission/Executive would also like to know what you think about this consultation, both the content and layout. Your views may help to improve further consultations. If you are not satisfied with the way in which this consultation exercise has been conducted you can complain by contacting:
We aim to reply to all complaints within 10 working days. If you are not satisfied with the outcome, you can raise the matter with the Chief Executive of HSE at the Health and Safety Executive, Rose Court, 2 Southwark Bridge, London SE1 9HS. You can also write to your MP to take up the case with us. Your MP may refer the matter to the Parliamentary Commissioner for Administration (the Ombudsman) who will investigate your complaint.
**SUMMARY**

The second European Commission Directive on Indicative Occupational Exposure Limit Values (2006/15/EC) (the 2nd IOELV Directive) was adopted on 7 February 2006. This Consultative Document sets out the Health and Safety Commission’s (HSC’s) proposals for establishing Workplace Exposure Limits (WELs) for the 33 substances listed in the Directive. The 33 substances are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Substance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>Isopentane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Aminoethanol</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium (soluble compounds as Ba)</td>
<td>2-(2-Methoxyethoxy) ethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromine</td>
<td>Monochlorobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol</td>
<td>Morpholine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
<td>Neopentane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>Nicotine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>Nitric acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium metal, inorganic chromium (II) compounds and inorganic chromium (III) compounds (insoluble)</td>
<td>Nitrobenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxalic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanamide</td>
<td>Pentane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>Phosphine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethylamine</td>
<td>Phosphorus pentachloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphosphorus pentasulphide</td>
<td>Pyrethrum (purified of sensitising lactones)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphosphorus pentoxide</td>
<td>Resorcinol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic acid</td>
<td>Silver (soluble compounds as Ag)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this Consultative Document the proposals for the 33 substances appear in two ways:

- A substance by substance summary in Table A on pages 11-14.
- A more detailed description of the changes with questions for consultees on pages 15-20.

Please feel free to copy this Consultative Document more widely; hard copies are available from the address on the back cover, and are also available via the HSE’s website ([http://www.hse.gov.uk/consult/live.htm](http://www.hse.gov.uk/consult/live.htm)).
BACKGROUND

THE BRITISH OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMIT SYSTEM

1. In 2005, the Health and Safety Commission (HSC) introduced a new framework for setting occupational exposure limits (OELs) following an amendment to the COSHH Regulations 2002 (S.I. 2004 No. 3386). The new system dispenses with the previous system of Maximum Exposure Limits (MELs) and Occupational Exposure Standards (OESs) and replaces both with a single type of limit, the Workplace Exposure Limit or WEL.

2. The requirements for compliance with WELs are set out in regulation 7(7) of the COSHH Regulations 2002 (as amended). In essence, the emphasis in the new WEL system is on the need to control exposure to substances according to the principles of good practice. To help employers with this requirement, the general principles of good practice are set out in Schedule 2A of the 2004 amendment to the COSHH Regulations. These are reproduced in “EH40/2005 Workplace Exposure Limits” and are also listed in Annex 2 of the Regulatory Impact Assessment, which is at Appendix 2 of this document. In addition to these general principles of good practice, control advice sheets laying out step-by-step instructions for specific tasks and processes, are available via the electronic system of COSHH Essentials (www.coshh-essentials.org.uk). These control guidance sheets represent good practice for the control of hazardous substances. COSHH Essentials is available as guidance only, and employers are free to use other sources of advice on good practice, if they wish.

3. In addition to the need to control substances according to good practice, it is also a legal requirement that the WEL should not be exceeded. A WEL is defined as the concentration of a hazardous substance in the air that people breathe, averaged over a specified reference period referred to as a time-weighted average (TWA). Two periods are used: long-term (8 hours) and short-term (15 minutes).

4. WELs are published in the HSE publication “EH40 Workplace Exposure Limits”, the current edition of which was published in April 2005. The limit values are also available on the HSE website (www.hse.gov.uk/coshh/table1.pdf). In addition to the requirement to control exposures to the level of the WEL, employers must, if the substance is a carcinogen or a respiratory sensitisier, reduce exposures still further to a level which is as low as is reasonably practicable.

5. For more information on employers’ duties under COSHH, you should refer to HSE’s booklet “COSHH - a brief guide to the regulations” INDG136(rev3), available from HSE Books.

INDICATIVE OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMIT VALUES (IOELVs)

6. IOELVs are European legal limits that are set to protect the health of workers in the European Union from the ill-health effects of hazardous substances in the workplace. Their legal status derives from Council Directive 98/24/EC on the protection of the health and safety of workers from the risks related to chemical agents at work (the Chemical Agents Directive or CAD). The first European Commission Directive on Indicative Occupational Exposure Limit Values (1st IOELV Directive), containing limits for 63 substances, was adopted by the European
Commission on 8 June 2000 and was implemented in Great Britain by the inclusion of the limits in the HSE publication “**EH40 Occupational Exposure Limits 2002**”.

7. The IOELV Directives require Member States to establish national OELs for the chemical agents in question, *taking into account* the Community values. In most cases this will mean that the British limit will be identical or very close to the IOELV (or in a number of cases more stringent). Only in very rare circumstances will the HSC consider establishing a WEL which is substantially higher than the IOELV.
THE 2ND IOELV DIRECTIVE

8. The European Commission has now set IOELVs for a further 33 substances. A copy of the Directive is at Appendix 1. In the Directive, substances are listed in CAS Number order. However in this Consultative Document we consider each substance alphabetically. The limits for these substances are based on recommendations by the European Commission’s Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits (SCOEL) which has considered the scientific information available on each substance. Member States must take into account the IOELV for a substance when establishing their national limit values.

9. The Health and Safety Commission’s tripartite Advisory Committee on Toxic Substances (ACTS) is content that these recommendations are based on a thorough critical assessment by SCOEL of the available information on the health effects of the substances in question and, where relevant, a consideration of the socio-economic aspects of the proposed limit.

10. Supporting documentation prepared by SCOEL, is available for 22 of the substances covered by this Directive, on request from the Health and Safety Executive. To obtain copies, please contact Steve Mason, International Chemicals Unit, Health and Safety Executive – telephone 020 7717 6211 or email steve.mason@hse.gsi.gov.uk. There are no published SCOEL summaries for the other 11 substances.

2-Aminoethanol
2-(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol
Chlorine
Chloroethane
Chromium metal, Inorganic Chromium II and Inorganic Chromium III compounds
Cyanamide
Cyclohexane
Diethylamine
Diphosphorus pentoxide
n-Hexane
2-(2-Methoxyethoxy) ethanol
Monochlorobenzene
Morpholine
Nitric acid
Nitrobenzene
Pentane, Isopentane and Neopentane
Phosphine
Pyrethrum (purified of sensitising lactones)
Silver (soluble compounds as Ag)
Toluene

12. The HSC proposes to implement the IOELVs in this Commission Directive through our domestic system of WELs. These WELs are set to help employers meet their legal responsibilities under the Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations 2002 (as amended) (COSHH) which require employers to prevent, or if this is not reasonably practicable, to adequately control, their employees’ exposure to hazardous substances.

13. The specific proposals appear in two ways:

- Table A on pages 11-14 is a summary of all the proposals substance by substance;
- Pages 15-20 set out the proposals in detail with questions for the 33 substances.

14. In certain cases, the HSC’s proposals do not exactly replicate what is in the Directive. These are described in full in paragraphs 30 to 33.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBSTANCE NAME</th>
<th>CAS Number</th>
<th>IOELV A) 8-hour TWA B) STEL C) Skin Notation</th>
<th>Existing UK WEL and notations A) 8-hour TWA B) STEL C) Skin Notation</th>
<th>HSC Proposal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>A) 70 mg.m(^{-3}) 40 ppm B) None C) Skin</td>
<td>A) 68 mg.m(^{-3}) 40 ppm B) 102 mg.m(^{-3}) 60 ppm C) None</td>
<td>Retain 8-hour TWA limit Retain STEL Add Sk notation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Aminoethanol</td>
<td>141-43-5</td>
<td>A) 2.5 mg.m(^{-3}) 1 ppm B) 7.6 mg.m(^{-3}) 3 ppm C) Skin</td>
<td>A) 7.6 mg.m(^{-3}) 3 ppm B) 15 mg.m(^{-3}) 6 ppm C) None</td>
<td>Adopt IOELV 8-hour TWA limit Adopt IOELV STEL Add Sk notation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium (soluble compounds as Ba)</td>
<td>7440-39-3</td>
<td>A) 0.5 mg.m(^{-3}) B) None C) None</td>
<td>A) 0.5 mg.m(^{-3}) B) None C) None</td>
<td>Retain existing WEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromine</td>
<td>7726-95-6</td>
<td>A) 0.7 mg.m(^{-3}) 0.1 ppm B) None C) None</td>
<td>A) 0.66 mg.m(^{-3}) 0.1 ppm B) 2 mg.m(^{-3}) 0.3 ppm C) None</td>
<td>Retain 8-hour TWA WEL Reduce STEL to 0.2 ppm (see paragraph 31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol</td>
<td>112-34-5</td>
<td>A) 67.5 mg.m(^{-3}) 10 ppm B) 101.2 mg.m(^{-3}) 15 ppm C) None</td>
<td>A) None B) None C) None</td>
<td>Adopt IOELV 8-hour TWA limit Adopt IOELV STEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
<td>124-38-9</td>
<td>A) 9,000 mg.m(^{-3}) 5,000 ppm B) None C) None</td>
<td>A) 9,150 mg.m(^{-3}) 5,000 ppm B) 27,400 mg.m(^{-3}) 15,000 ppm C) None</td>
<td>Retain 8-hour TWA WEL Retain STEL to protect asthmatics from effects of high exposures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>7782-50-5</td>
<td>A) None B) 1.5 mg.m(^{-3}) 0.5 ppm C) None</td>
<td>A) 1.5 mg.m(^{-3}) 0.5 ppm B) 2.9 mg.m(^{-3}) 1 ppm C) None</td>
<td>Withdraw 8-hour TWA WEL as no longer necessary Adopt IOELV STEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>A) 268 mg.m(^{-3}) 100 ppm B) None C) None</td>
<td>A) 50 ppm B) None C) None</td>
<td>Retain existing WEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance Name</td>
<td>CAS Number</td>
<td>IOELV A) 8-hour TWA B) STEL C) Skin Notation</td>
<td>Existing UK WEL A) 8-hour TWA B) STEL C) Skin Notation</td>
<td>HSC Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium metal, inorganic chromium (II) compounds and inorganic chromium (III) compounds</td>
<td>7440-47-3</td>
<td>A) 2 mg.m⁻³  B) None  C) None</td>
<td>A) 0.5 mg.m⁻³  B) None  C) None</td>
<td>Retain existing WEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanamide</td>
<td>420-04-2</td>
<td>A) 1 mg.m⁻³  0.58 ppm  B) None  C) Skin</td>
<td>A) 2 mg.m⁻³  B) None  C) None</td>
<td>Adopt IOELV 8-hour TWA limit  Add Sk notation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>A) 700 mg.m⁻³  200 ppm  B) None  C) None</td>
<td>A) 350 mg.m⁻³  100 ppm  B) 1050 mg.m⁻³  300 ppm  C) None</td>
<td>Retain existing WELs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diethyamine</td>
<td>109-89-7</td>
<td>A) 15 mg.m⁻³  5 ppm  B) 30 mg.m⁻³  10 ppm  C) None</td>
<td>A) 30 mg.m⁻³  10 ppm  B) 76 mg.m⁻³  25 ppm  C) None</td>
<td>Adopt IOELV 8-hour limit  Adopt IOELV STEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphosphorus pentasulphide</td>
<td>1314-80-3</td>
<td>A) 1 mg.m⁻³  B) None  C) None</td>
<td>A) 1 mg.m⁻³  B) 3 mg.m⁻³  C) None</td>
<td>Retain existing to 8-hour TWA WEL  Revise STEL to 2 mg.m⁻³ (see paragraph 32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diphosphorus pentoxide</td>
<td>1314-56-3</td>
<td>A) 1 mg.m⁻³  B) None  C) None</td>
<td>A) None  B) 2 mg.m⁻³  C) None</td>
<td>Introduce IOELV 8-hour TWA  Retain current GB STEL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic acid</td>
<td>64-18-6</td>
<td>A) 9 mg.m⁻³  5 ppm  B) None  C) None</td>
<td>A) 9.6 mg.m⁻³  5 ppm  B) None  C) None</td>
<td>No change required. Current GB WEL equates to IOELV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>1110-54-3</td>
<td>A) 72 mg.m⁻³  20 ppm  B) None  C) None</td>
<td>A) 72 mg.m⁻³  20 ppm  B) None  C) None</td>
<td>No change required. Current GB WEL equates to IOELV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBSTANCE NAME</td>
<td>CAS Number</td>
<td>IOELV A) 8-hour TWA 1,000 ppm B) None C) None</td>
<td>Existing UK WEL and notations A) 8-hour TWA 1,800 mg.m⁻³; 600 ppm B) None C) None</td>
<td>HSC Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isopentane</td>
<td>78-78-4</td>
<td>A) 3,000 mg.m⁻³ 1,000 ppm B) None C) None</td>
<td>A) None, but there is a guidance value of 1,800 mg.m⁻³; 600 ppm B) None C) None</td>
<td>Introduce 8-hour TWA WEL at the level of the guidance value (600 ppm) since this value has been agreed by ACTS for use in setting in-house OELs for mixtures of hydrocarbon solvents (see paragraph 24).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>67-56-1</td>
<td>A) 260 mg.m⁻³ 200 ppm B) None C) Skin</td>
<td>A) 266 mg.m⁻³ 200 ppm B) 333 mg.m⁻³ 250 ppm C) Skin</td>
<td>Retain existing WELs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2(2-Methoxyethoxy) ethanol</td>
<td>111-77-3</td>
<td>A) 50.1 mg.m⁻³ 10 ppm B) None C) Skin</td>
<td>A) None B) None C) None</td>
<td>Adopt IOELV 8-hour TWA Add Skin notation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monochlorobenzene</td>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>A) 23 mg.m⁻³ 5 ppm B) 70 mg.m⁻³ 15 ppm C) None</td>
<td>A) 1 ppm B) 3 ppm C) None</td>
<td>Retain existing WELS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morpholine</td>
<td>110-91-8</td>
<td>A) 36 mg.m⁻³ 10 ppm B) 72 mg.m⁻³ 20 ppm C) None</td>
<td>A) 72 mg.m⁻³ 20 ppm B) 109 mg.m⁻³ 30 ppm C) Skin</td>
<td>Adopt IOELV 8-hour TWA Adopt IOELV STEL Retain Skin notation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neopentane</td>
<td>590-35-2</td>
<td>A) 3,000 mg.m⁻³ 1,000 ppm B) None C) None</td>
<td>A) None but there is a guidance value of 1,800 mg.m⁻³; 600 ppm B) None C) None</td>
<td>Introduce 8-hour TWA at the level of the existing guidance value (600 ppm) since this value has been agreed by ACTS for use in setting in-house OELs for mixtures of hydrocarbon solvents (see para. 24).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicotine</td>
<td>54-11-5</td>
<td>A) 0.5 mg.m⁻³ B) None C) Skin</td>
<td>A) 0.5 mg.m⁻³ B) 1.5 mg.m⁻³ C) Skin</td>
<td>Retain existing WELs (including STEL) and skin notation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitric acid</td>
<td>7697-37-2</td>
<td>A) None B) 2.6 mg.m⁻³ 1 ppm C) None</td>
<td>A) 5.2 mg.m⁻³ 2 ppm B) 10 mg.m⁻³ 4 ppm C) None</td>
<td>Withdraw 8 hour TWA WEL Adopt IOELV STEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBSTANCE NAME</td>
<td>CAS Number</td>
<td>IOELV A) 8-hour TWA B) STEL C) Skin Notation</td>
<td>Existing UK WEL and notations A) 8-hour TWA B) STEL C) Skin Notation</td>
<td>HSC Proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrobenzene</td>
<td>98-95-3</td>
<td>A) 1 mg.m⁻³ 0.2 ppm B) None C) Skin</td>
<td>A) 5.1 mg.m⁻³ 1 ppm B) 10 mg.m⁻³ 2 ppm C) Skin</td>
<td>Adopt IOELV 8-hour TWA limit Withdraw existing GB STEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxalic acid</td>
<td>144-62-6</td>
<td>A) 1 mg.m⁻³ B) None C) None</td>
<td>A) 1 mg.m⁻³ B) 2 mg.m⁻³ C) None</td>
<td>Retain existing WELs (including STEL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentane</td>
<td>109-66-0</td>
<td>A) 3,000 mg.m⁻³ 1,000 ppm B) None C) None</td>
<td>A) None but there is a guidance value of 1,800 mg.m⁻³ ; 600 ppm B) None C) None</td>
<td>Introduce 8-hour TWA at the level of the existing guidance value (600 ppm) since this value has been agreed by ACTS for use in setting in-house OELs for mixtures of hydrocarbon solvents (see para. 24).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphine</td>
<td>7803-51-2</td>
<td>A) 0.14 mg.m⁻³ 0.1 ppm B) 0.28 mg.m⁻³ 0.2 ppm C) None</td>
<td>A) None B) 0.42 mg.m⁻³ 0.3 ppm C) None</td>
<td>Adopt IOELV 8-hour TWA limit Adopt IOELV STEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus pentachloride</td>
<td>10026-13-8</td>
<td>A) 1 mg.m⁻³ 0.1 ppm B) None C) None</td>
<td>A) 0.87 mg.m⁻³ 0.1 ppm B) None C) None</td>
<td>Retain existing GB 8-hour TWA WEL Adopt STEL of 2 mg.m⁻³ (0.2 ppm) (see para. 33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrethrum (purified of sensitising lactones)</td>
<td>8003-34-7</td>
<td>A) 1 mg.m⁻³ B) None C) None</td>
<td>A) 5 mg.m⁻³ (as pyrethins) B) 10 mg.m⁻³ (as pyrethrins) C) None</td>
<td>Adopt IOELV 8-hour TWA limit Withdraw existing GB STEL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resorcinol</td>
<td>108-46-3</td>
<td>A) 45 mg.m⁻³ 10 ppm B) None C) Skin</td>
<td>A) 46 mg.m⁻³ 10 ppm B) 92 mg.m⁻³ 20 ppm C) None</td>
<td>Retain existing WELs Adopt Skin notation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silver (soluble compounds as Ag)</td>
<td>7440-22-4</td>
<td>A) 0.01 mg.m⁻³ B) None C) None</td>
<td>A) 0.01 mg.m⁻³ B) None C) None</td>
<td>Retain existing WEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>A) 192 mg.m⁻³ 50 ppm B) 384 mg.m⁻³ 100 ppm C) Skin</td>
<td>A) 191 mg.m⁻³ 50 ppm B) 574 mg.m⁻³ 150 ppm C) Skin</td>
<td>Retain existing 8-hour TWA WEL Adopt IOELV STEL Retain Sk notation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Description of the proposals with questions for consultees

Substances for which no limit change is necessary

15. Of the 33 substances, 14 have recommended IOELVs that are identical to or higher than the existing WEL, and HSC is not proposing any change to the current WEL. These substances are:

- Acetonitrile (see also para. 29)
- Barium (soluble compounds as Ba)
- Carbon dioxide
- Chloroethane
- Chromium metal, inorganic chromium (II) and chromium (III) compounds
- Cyclohexane
- Formic acid
- n-Hexane
- Methanol
- Monochlorobenzene
- Nicotine
- Oxalic acid
- Resorcinol (see also para. 29)
- Silver (soluble compounds as Ag)
- n-Hexane
- Methanol
- Monochlorobenzene
- Nicotine
- Oxalic acid
- Resorcinol (see also para. 29)
- Silver (soluble compounds as Ag)

16. Additionally, for a further 4 substances, the 8-hour TWA WEL is identical to the IOELV, and will therefore remain unchanged, although a change is proposed to the short-term exposure limit. These substances are:

- Bromine
- Diphosphorus pentasulphide
- Phosphorus pentachloride
- Toluene

Substances for which a reduction in the existing WEL is necessary

17. The following ten substances require reductions to be made to existing WELs in order to comply with the IOELV.

- 2-Aminoethanol
- Chlorine
- Cyanamide
- Diethylamine
- Morpholine
- Nitric acid
- Nitrobenzene
- Phosphine (see also para. 26)
- Pyrethrum
- Toluene

Question 1: Do you agree that the WEL (8-hour TWA) for 2-aminoethanol should be reduced from 3 ppm (7.6 mg.m⁻³) to 1 ppm (2.5 mg.m⁻³)? If you disagree, please explain why.

Question 2: Do you agree that the STEL for 2-aminoethanol should be reduced from 6 ppm (15 mg.m⁻³) to 3 ppm (7.6 mg.m⁻³)? If you disagree, please explain why.

Question 3: Do you agree that the STEL for chlorine should be reduced from 1 ppm (2.9 mg.m⁻³) to 0.5 ppm (1.5 mg.m⁻³)? If you disagree, please explain why.

18. Chlorine currently has a WEL of 0.5 ppm (1.5 mg.m⁻³) (8-hour TWA). The draft 2nd IOELV Directive does not recommend an 8-hour limit for chlorine and lists only a short-term exposure limit (STEL) in view of the acute effects of chlorine exposure. As this STEL equates to the existing WEL, the HSC proposes that the 8-hour limit be withdrawn.
Question 4: Do you agree that the WEL (8-hour TWA) for chlorine set at 0.5 ppm (1.5 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) should be withdrawn? If you disagree, please explain why.

Question 5: Do you agree that the WEL (8-hour TWA) for cyanamide should be reduced from 2 mg.m\(^{-3}\) to 1 mg.m\(^{-3}\) (0.58 ppm)? If you disagree, please explain why.

Question 6: Do you agree that the WEL (8-hour TWA) for diethylamine should be reduced from 10 ppm (30 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) to 5 ppm (15 mg.m\(^{-3}\))? If you disagree, please explain why.

Question 7: Do you agree that the STEL for diethylamine should be reduced from 25 ppm (76 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) to 10 ppm (30 mg.m\(^{-3}\))? If you disagree, please explain why.

Question 8: Do you agree that the WEL (8-hour TWA) for morpholine should be reduced from 20 ppm (72 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) to 10 ppm (36 mg.m\(^{-3}\))? If you disagree, please explain why.

Question 9: Do you agree that the STEL for morpholine should be reduced from 30 ppm (109 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) to 20 ppm (72 mg.m\(^{-3}\))? If you disagree, please explain why.

Question 10: Do you agree that the STEL for nitric acid should be reduced from 4 ppm (10 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) to 1 ppm (2.6 mg.m\(^{-3}\))? If you disagree, please explain why.

19. Nitric acid currently has a WEL of 2 ppm (5.2 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) (8-hour TWA). The Directive does not recommend an 8-hour limit for nitric acid and lists only a STEL in view of the acute effects of exposure to nitric acid. As this STEL is considerably lower than the existing 8-hour WEL, the HSC proposes that the current 8-hour WEL be withdrawn.

Question 11: Do you agree that the WEL (8-hour TWA) for nitric acid set at 2 ppm (5.2 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) should be withdrawn? If you disagree, please explain why.

Question 12: Do you agree that the WEL (8-hour TWA) for nitrobenzene should be reduced from 1 ppm (5.1 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) to 0.2 ppm (1 mg.m\(^{-3}\))? If you disagree, please explain why.

20. Nitrobenzene currently has a STEL of 2 ppm (10 mg.m\(^{-3}\)). Because this STEL would be ten-times higher than the new 8-hour TWA WEL, the HSC proposes that it be withdrawn. To set a short-term limit, employers should follow the guidelines set out in paragraph 93 of EH40/2005, and use a figure that is three-times the 8-hour TWA limit.

Question 13: Do you agree that the STEL for nitrobenzene set at 2 ppm (10 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) should be withdrawn? If you disagree, please explain why.

Question 14: Do you agree that the STEL for phosphine should be reduced from 0.3 ppm (0.42 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) to 0.2 ppm (0.28 mg.m\(^{-3}\))? If you disagree, please explain why. (See also paragraph 26).
21. Great Britain currently has an 8-hour TWA WEL for pyrethrins (CAS No. 8003-34-7) set at 5 mg.m\(^{-3}\). The Directive lists a limit for pyrethrum (purified of sensitising lactones) with the identical CAS number. The HSC proposes that the existing WEL be replaced by a new WEL in line with the recommended IOELV of 1 mg.m\(^{-3}\) (8-hour TWA) for pyrethrum (purified of sensitising lactones).

**Question 15:** Do you agree that the WEL for pyrethrins of 5 mg.m\(^{-3}\) (8-hour TWA) be amended to a WEL for pyrethrum (purified of sensitising lactones) of 1 mg.m\(^{-3}\)? If you disagree, please explain why.

22. Pyrethrins currently have a STEL of 10 mg.m\(^{-3}\). Because this STEL would be ten-times higher than the new 8-hour TWA WEL, the HSC proposes that it be withdrawn. In order to set a short-term limit, employers should follow the guidelines set out in paragraph 93 of EH40/2005, and use a figure that is three-times the 8-hour TWA limit.

**Question 16:** Do you agree that the STEL for pyrethrins, set at 10 mg.m\(^{-3}\), should be withdrawn? If you disagree, please explain why.

**Question 17:** Do you agree that the STEL for toluene should be reduced from 150 ppm (574 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) to 100 ppm (384 mg.m\(^{-3}\))? If you disagree, please explain why.

**Substances for which a new or additional limit is required**

23. To comply with the Directive, new 8-hour TWA and/or short-term limits are required for seven substances. The HSC therefore proposes the establishment of new WELs for these substances.

- 2(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol
- Neopentane
- Diphosphorus pentoxide
- Pentane
- Isopentane
- Phosphine
- 2(2-Methoxyethoxy) ethanol

24. The Directive recommends IOELVs for isopentane, neopentane and pentane of 1000 ppm (3000 mg.m\(^{-3}\)). At the moment there are no WELs for these substances. However, they do have guidance values of 600 ppm (1800 mg.m\(^{-3}\)). These guidance values were developed by an ACTS sub-group for use in setting in-house OELs for mixtures of hydrocarbon solvents, using a procedure known as the Reciprocal Calculation Procedure (RCP) (see paragraphs 119-121 in EH40/2005). These guidance values have been in use for over 10 years in Great Britain. The HSC is proposing to convert the guidance values assigned to these three isomers into WELs. This will result in WELs for these three substances that are lower than the IOELVs listed in the Directive.

**Question 18:** Do you agree with the introduction of new WELs for isopentane, neopentane and pentane of 600 ppm (1,800 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) (8-hour TWA), based on the guidance values recommended for use in the reciprocal calculation procedure? If you disagree, please explain why.
25. The Directive recommends 8-hour TWA limits for two further substances that have never been subject to a British limit – 2(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol (DEGBE) and 2(2-Methoxyethoxy) ethanol (DEGME). The HSC proposes that new WELs, in line with the recommended IOELVs, be adopted in Great Britain.

**Question 19:** Do you agree with the introduction of a WEL (8-hour TWA) for 2-(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol set at 10 ppm (67.5 mg.m\(^{-3}\))? If you disagree, please explain why.

**Question 20:** Do you agree with the introduction of a STEL for 2-(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol set at 15 ppm (101.2 mg.m\(^{-3}\))? If you disagree, please explain why.

**Question 21:** Do you agree with the introduction of a WEL (8-hour TWA) for 2-(2-Methoxyethoxy) ethanol set at 10 ppm (50.1 mg.m\(^{-3}\))? If you disagree, please explain why.

26. Diphosphorus pentoxide and phosphine do not currently have an 8-hour TWA limit, although both substances are currently subject to a STEL. The HSC proposes that WELs in line with the recommended 8-hour TWA IOELVs be adopted in Great Britain.

**Question 22:** Do you agree with the introduction of a new WEL (8-hour TWA) for diphosphorus pentoxide of 1 mg.m\(^{-3}\)? If you disagree, please explain why.

**Question 23:** Do you agree with the introduction of a new WEL (8-hour TWA) for phosphine of 0.1 ppm (0.14 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) (8-hour TWA)? If you disagree, please explain why.

**Skin notations**

27. Nine of the substances listed in the Directive carry additional “skin” (Sk) notations signifying the possibility of significant uptake of the substance through the skin, leading to the potential for toxicity over and above that due to inhalation exposure alone.

28. The HSC proposes that Sk notations be retained for four substances where they already exist in British limits. These substances are:

- Methanol
- Nitrobenzene
- Nicotine
- Toluene

Additionally, Great Britain currently has an Sk notation for morpholine while no such notation appears in the Directive. The HSC proposes that the Sk notation for morpholine be retained.
29. The Directive includes Sk notations for five substances where no current comparable GB notation exits. The HSC therefore proposes that Sk notations be introduced for the following substances:

- Acetonitrile
- 2-Aminoethanol
- Cyanamide
- 2-(2-Methoxyethoxy) ethanol
- Resorcinol

Question 24: Do you agree with the introduction of an Sk notation for acetonitrile, 2-aminoethanol, cyanamide, 2-(2-methoxyethoxy) ethanol and resorcinol? If you disagree, please explain why.

**Domestic limit changes**

30. For three substances listed in the 2nd IOELV Directive, the HSC is proposing minor changes to improve occupational health, even though no change is required to the existing WEL in order to comply with the Directive. For bromine, diphosphorus pentasulphide and phosphorus pentachloride, the Directive lists 8-hour TWA limits with no accompanying STELs. These limits derive from the 1st ILV Directive (91/322/EEC). No STELs were established for any substance in this Directive. These three substances have the potential to cause acute irritation of the respiratory tract if inhaled, and the HSC believes that, for worker health protection, it is appropriate that STELs should also be set for them.

31. In the case of bromine, a STEL of 0.3 ppm (2 mg.m\(^{-3}\)) is currently listed in EH40/2005. This limit was derived prior to the implementation of COSHH. Data on the toxicity of bromine are sparse. However, data published since the current STEL was set suggest that 0.3 ppm may be above the irritant threshold for bromine. The evidence has been reviewed by the Expert Panel on Air Quality Standards (EPAQS) in its recent recommendations for “guideline levels” for halogen and hydrogen halides in ambient air. In the light of information contained within this review, the HSC proposes that the STEL for bromine be reduced from 0.3 ppm to 0.2 ppm.

Question 25: Do you agree that the STEL for bromine should be reduced from 0.3 ppm to 0.2 ppm? If you disagree, please explain why.

32. In the case of diphosphorus pentasulphide, a STEL of 3 mg.m\(^{-3}\) is currently listed in EH40/2005. This limit was derived prior to the introduction of the COSHH Regulations. There is very little toxicity data for diphosphorus pentasulphide. In water it rapidly hydrolysates to orthophosphoric acid and hydrogen sulphide, and therefore its STEL was set by analogy with the STEL for orthophosphoric acid. In 1995, following a WATCH/ACTS review, the STEL for orthophosphoric acid was reduced to 2 mg.m\(^{-3}\). The HSC now proposes to align the STEL for diphosphorus pentasulphide with the lower STEL for orthophosphoric acid.

Question 26: Do you agree that the STEL for diphosphorus pentasulphide be reduced from 3 mg.m\(^{-3}\) to 2 mg.m\(^{-3}\)? If you disagree, please explain why.

33. No STEL is currently in force for phosphorus pentachloride. However, this substance is classified as corrosive, and therefore it would be acutely irritating to the respiratory tract. In water, phosphorus pentachloride rapidly hydrolysates first to
phosphorus oxychloride and hydrogen chloride. The phosphorus oxychloride then hydrolyses to orthophosphoric acid and more hydrogen chloride. For consistency with the WELs for orthophosphoric acid and diphosphorus pentoxide, the HSC proposes to introduce a STEL of 2 mg.m\(^{-3}\) for phosphorus pentachloride.

**Question 27:** Do you agree with the introduction of a STEL for phosphorus pentachloride at 2 mg.m\(^{-3}\) ? If you disagree, please explain why.

------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Implementation Date**

34. The HSC proposes to apply the new limits on 6 April 2007, the common commencement date prior to the final date for implementation required by the Directive.

**Regulatory Impact/ Cost Benefit Assessment**

35. Before introducing any new piece of legislation, the HSC carries out an assessment of the costs this legislation would impose on industry and other stakeholders, and of the benefits it is expected to bring. Since October 1998, this assessment has been included in the Regulatory Impact Assessment (RIA). An RIA is not carried out, however, when the legislation does not impose additional costs to industry.

36. In relation to the IOELV proposals for the substances set out in this Consultative Document, HSE has examined what costs and benefits would result from their implementation, as proposed, into the national limit-setting system (Appendix 2). HSE estimates that, for most of the substances, no additional costs will arise, and that the major part of the estimated costs of £5.4 million (one-off) and £4.4 million (over ten years) will fall on the water utility industry because the short-term exposure limit for chlorine gas will be halved.

**INVITATION TO COMMENT**

37. The HSC invites comments on these proposals. For your convenience, a response form is included at Appendix 4, which contains all the questions on pages 15 to 20, and you may find it helpful to use this for your reply. We are happy, nevertheless, to receive your written comments in any form convenient to you. We will acknowledge receipt of all comments sent to us and will give them careful consideration. The HSC would also like to know what you think about this consultation, both in terms of content and layout. You views will help us to improve future consultations.
38. Please send your comments by 27 September 2006 to Steve Mason whose contact details are below.

Steve Mason
International Chemicals Unit
Health and Safety Executive
9SW Rose Court
2 Southwark Bridge
London SE1 9HS

Email: steve.mason@hse.gsi.gov.uk

Telephone: 020 7717 6211

Fax: 020 7717 6891
COMMISSION DIRECTIVE 2006/15/EC
of 7 February 2006


(Text with EEA relevance)

THE COMMISSION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES,
Having regard to the Treaty establishing the European Community,

Having regard to Council Directive 98/24/EC of 7 April 1998 on the protection of the health and safety of workers from the risks related to chemical agents at work¹, and in particular Article 3(2) thereof,

Having regard to the opinion of the Advisory Committee on Safety, Hygiene and Health Protection at Work,

Whereas:

(1) Pursuant to Directive 98/24/EC, the Commission is to propose European objectives in the form of indicative occupational exposure limit values (IOELVs) for the protection of workers from chemical risks, to be set at Community level.

(2) In carrying out this task, the Commission is assisted by the Scientific Committee for Occupational Exposure Limits to Chemical Agents (SCOEL) set up by Commission Decision 95/320/EC².

(3) Indicative occupational exposure limit values are health-based, non-binding values, derived from the most recent scientific data available and taking into account the availability of measurement techniques. They set threshold levels of exposure below which no detrimental effects are expected for any given substance. They are necessary for the determination and assessment of risks by the employer in accordance with Article 4 of Directive 98/24/EC.

(4) For any chemical agent for which indicative occupational exposure limit values are established at Community level, Member States are required to establish a national occupational exposure limit value taking into account the Community limit value, but may determine its nature in accordance with national legislation and practice.

(5) Indicative occupational exposure limit values should be regarded as an important part of the overall approach to ensuring the protection of the health of workers at the workplace against the risks arising from hazardous chemicals

¹ OJ L131, 5.5.1998, p. 11
Results of the risk assessments and risk reduction strategies developed in the framework of Council Regulation (EEC) 793/93\(^3\) on the evaluation and control of the risks of existing substances provide for the establishment or revision of OELs for a number of substances.

A first and a second list of indicative occupational exposure limit values were established by Commission Directives 91/322/EEC\(^4\) and 96/94/EC\(^5\) under Council Directive 80/1107/EEC of 27 November 1980 on the protection of workers from the risks related to exposure to chemical, physical and biological agents at work\(^6\).

Directive 80/1107/EEC was repealed with effect from 5 May 2001 by Directive 98/24/EC.

Directive 98/24/EC established that Directives 91/322/EEC and 96/94/EEC were to remain in force.

Directive 96/94/EC was repealed with effect from 31 December 2001 by Commission Directive 2000/39/EC of 8 June 2000 establishing a first list of indicative occupational exposure limit values in implementation of Council Directive 98/24/EC on the protection of the health and safety of workers from the risks related to chemical agents at work\(^7\).

In the light of the evaluation of the latest available scientific data, it is appropriate to review the indicative occupational exposure limit values established by Directive 91/322/EEC.

In accordance with Article 3 of Directive 98/24/EC, SCOEL has assessed a total of 33 substances, which are listed in the Annex to the present Directive. Of these 33 substances, 17 were already listed in the Annex to Directive 91/322/EEC. For 4 of these substances, the SCOEL recommends the establishment of new indicative limit values and, for 13 substances, the maintenance of the previous limit values. Therefore those 17 substances now listed in the Annex to the present Directive should be deleted from the Annex to Directive 91/322/EEC, whereas the other 10 substances will remain in the Annex to Directive 91/322/EEC.

Ten substances should remain in the Annex to Directive 91/322/EEC. As to 9 of these substances the SCOEL has not yet recommended an indicative occupational exposure limit value, while for one remaining substance it is anticipated that additional scientific data will available in the near future and that it will be submitted to SCOEL for consideration.

The list in the Annex to this Directive also incorporates 16 other substances for which indicative occupational exposure limit values have been recommended by SCOEL, following evaluation of the latest available scientific data on occupational health effects and taking into account the availability of measurement techniques in accordance with Article 3 of Directive 98/24/EC.

\(^4\) OJ L177, 5.7.1991, p.22
\(^6\) OJ L327, 3.12.1980, p. 8
\(^7\) OJ L 142, 16.6.2000, p. 47
One of those 16 substances, monochlorobenzene, was included in the Annex of Directive 2000/39/EC. SCOEL has reviewed the IOELV in the light of the recent scientific data and recommended the establishment of a new IOELV. Therefore, this substance, now listed in the Annex of the present Directive, should be deleted from the Annex to Directive 2000/39/EC.

It is also necessary to establish short-term exposure limit values for certain substances to take account of effects arising from short-term exposure.

For some substances, it is necessary to take into account the possibility of penetration through the skin in order to ensure the best possible level of protection.

This Directive should constitute a practical step towards the achievement of the social dimension of the internal market.

The measures provided for in this Directive are in accordance with the opinion of the Committee instituted by Article 17 of Council Directive 89/391/EEC of 12 June 1989 on the introduction of measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health of workers at work.

Directive 91/322/EEC should therefore be amended accordingly.

HAS ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:

Article 1
In implementation of Directive 98/24/EC, a second list of Community indicative occupational exposure limit values is hereby established for the chemical agents listed in the Annex.

Article 2
Member States shall establish national occupational exposure limit values for the chemical agents listed in the Annex, taking into account the Community values.

Article 3
In the Annex to Directive 91/322/EEC the references to the substances nicotine, formic acid, methanol, acetonitrile, nitrobenzene, resorcinol, diethylamine, carbon dioxide, oxalic acid, cyanamide, diphosphorus pentaoxide, diphosphorus pentasulphide, bromine, phosphorus pentachloride, pyrethrum, barium (soluble compounds as Ba), silver (soluble compounds as Ag) and their indicative limit values are deleted.

In the Annex to Directive 2000/39/EC the reference to the substance chlorobenzene is deleted.

Article 4
1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by 18 months after the entry into force at the latest.

8 OJ L. 183, 29.6.1989, p. 1
They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions and a correlation table between those provisions and this Directive.

When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.

2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.

Article 5

This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

Article 6

This Directive is addressed to the Member States.

Done at Brussels, 7 February 2006

For the Commission

Vladimir Špidla
Member of the Commission
## ANNEX

### INDICATIVE OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMIT VALUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EINECS(1)</th>
<th>CAS(2)</th>
<th>NAME OF AGENT</th>
<th>LIMIT VALUES</th>
<th>NOTATION(3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8 hours(4)</td>
<td>Short term(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/m³(6)</td>
<td>ppm(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mg/m³(6)</td>
<td>ppm(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-193-3</td>
<td>54-11-5</td>
<td>Nicotine</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-579-1</td>
<td>64-18-6</td>
<td>Formic acid</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-659-6</td>
<td>67-56-1</td>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-830-5</td>
<td>75-00-3</td>
<td>Chloroethane</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-835-2</td>
<td>75-05-8</td>
<td>Acetonitrile</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201-142-8</td>
<td>78-78-4</td>
<td>Isopentane</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202-716-0</td>
<td>98-95-3</td>
<td>Nitrobenzene</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203-585-2</td>
<td>108-46-3</td>
<td>Resorcinol</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203-625-9</td>
<td>108-88-3</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203-628-5</td>
<td>108-90-7</td>
<td>Monochlorobenzene</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203-692-4</td>
<td>109-66-0</td>
<td>Pentane</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203-716-3</td>
<td>109-89-7</td>
<td>Diethylamine</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203-777-6</td>
<td>110-54-3</td>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203-806-2</td>
<td>110-82-7</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203-815-1</td>
<td>110-91-8</td>
<td>Morpholine</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203-906-6</td>
<td>111-77-3</td>
<td>2-(2-Methoxyethoxy)ethanol</td>
<td>50.1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203-961-6</td>
<td>112-34-5</td>
<td>2-(2-Butoxyethoxy)ethanol</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204-696-9</td>
<td>124-38-9</td>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205-483-3</td>
<td>141-43-5</td>
<td>2-Aminoethanol</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205-634-3</td>
<td>144-62-7</td>
<td>Oxalic acid</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206-992-3</td>
<td>420-04-2</td>
<td>Cyramide</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207-343-7</td>
<td>463-82-1</td>
<td>Neopentane</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215-236-1</td>
<td>1314-56-3</td>
<td>Diphosphorus pentaoxide</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215-242-4</td>
<td>1314-80-3</td>
<td>Diphosphorus pentasulphide</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231-131-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>Silver (soluble compounds as Ag)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231-714-2</td>
<td>7697-37-2</td>
<td>Nitric acid</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231-778-1</td>
<td>7726-95-6</td>
<td>Bromine</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231-959-5</td>
<td>7782-50-5</td>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232-260-8</td>
<td>7803-51-2</td>
<td>Phosphate</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8003-34-7</td>
<td>Pyrethrum (purified of sensitising</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lactones)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233-060-3</td>
<td>10026-13-8</td>
<td>Phosphorus pentachloride</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

(1) EINECS: European Inventory of Existing Chemical Substances.
(2) CAS: Chemical Abstract Service Registry Number.
(3) A skin notation assigned to the occupational exposure limit value indicates the possibility of significant uptake through the skin.
(4) Measured or calculated in relation to a reference period of eight hours as a time-weighted average.
(5) A limit value above which exposure should not occur and which is related to a 15-minute period unless otherwise specified.
(6) mg/m³: milligrams per cubic metre of air at 20°C and 101.3 Kpa.
(7) ppm: parts per million by volume in air (ml/m3).
APPENDIX 2

REGULATORY IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR IMPLEMENTING A EUROPEAN COMMISSION DIRECTIVE ESTABLISHING A SECOND LIST OF INDICATIVE OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE LIMIT VALUES (IOELVs) (2006/15/EC) PARTIAL

PURPOSE AND INTENDED EFFECT

Objective

1. (i) To implement in Great Britain the 2nd Indicative Occupational Exposure Limit Values Directive (2nd IOELV Directive), a European Commission Directive under the Chemical Agents Directive (98/24/EC), and to therefore ensure that occupational exposure limit values are in place to protect workers from chemical risks.
(ii) By the implementation of this Directive throughout the European Union, to take a practical step towards the achievement of the social dimension of the internal market.

Background

2. Implementation of the Directive will not require additional legislation, as the framework for setting occupational exposure limits already exists. The Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) Regulations 2002 (as amended) provide the legal framework for setting Workplace Exposure Limits (WELs). WELs are approved by the Health and Safety Commission (HSC) and are published by the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) in its publication “EH40 Workplace Exposure Limits”.

3. A WEL is defined as the concentration of a hazardous substance in the air that people breathe, averaged over a specified reference period referred to as a time-weighted average (TWA). Two periods are used; long-term (8 hours) and short-term (15 minutes). When a WEL is assigned to a substance, this limit must not be exceeded.

4. The full extent of work-related health damage from hazardous substances is not known. However, a report published by the HSE\(^1\) of a survey of households in England and Wales, carried out eleven years ago, reported that nearly one fifth of respondents indicated that their current job sometimes exposed them to breathing fumes, dusts or other harmful substances, or required them to handle or touch harmful substances or materials. According to that survey, the occupations where people are most likely to be exposed are hairdressing and beauty treatment, nursing, farming, fishing, forestry, metal processing, construction, security and protective services, electrical processing and science and engineering.

\(^1\)Self-reported working conditions in 1995 - results from a household survey. J R Jones, J T Hodgson and J Osman.
5. The 33 substances listed in the Annex to the Directive are too numerous for a full risk assessment to be developed that covers them all. However, the hazards to human health of each substance, and the level of exposure at which each could cause harm to health, have been examined by the European Commission’s Scientific Committee on Occupational Exposure Limits (SCOEL), a body of experts from throughout the European Union, including from the UK.

6. The Indicative Occupational Exposure Limit Values proposed by SCOEL are health-based, and are set at a level at which no harmful effects would be predicted to occur to workers or their progeny as a result of repeated exposure throughout their working lifetime. On this basis, implementation of the limits can be deemed to have an advantageous effect on health.

7. Of the 33 substances considered in this Regulatory Impact Assessment, 14 have proposed occupational exposure limit values that are the same or higher than the existing Workplace Exposure Limit (WEL), and a further 3 are not deemed to be of concern, either because the limit change is so small as to be irrelevant in practice, or because there is no known use of the substance in the UK. A further 7 substances have no known UK manufacture. A further 3 substances are manufactured by one company only.

8. Annex 1 of this RIA lists 18 substances where there is a proposed change to the existing limit, with an indication of use patterns, and the control measures already in place. It has not, however, been possible to give a precise figure of those likely to be exposed to these substances at work in Great Britain.

Rationale for Government intervention

9. Now that the 2nd IOELV Directive has been adopted by the European Commission, the United Kingdom is required to implement it into domestic legislation, or risk infraction proceedings.

10. HSC/E has already established Occupational Exposure Limits (OELs) in Great Britain for the majority of substances covered by the Directive. These limits are workplace exposure limits (WELs), and form part of HSC/E’s new OEL framework, which requires employers to ensure that any WEL is not exceeded and, for substances that can cause cancer or occupational asthma, to reduce exposure to a level as low as is reasonably practicable below the WEL. In addition, regardless of whether a WEL exists, employers must apply the eight principles of good practice, set out in Schedule 2A of the COSHH Regulations, in order to control exposure to substances hazardous to health.  

---

2For more information on the “Principles of Good Practice”, see Annex 2.
CONSULTATION

11. Representatives of both industry and the trades unions have been consulted about this Directive, most recently in June 2005 through the HSC’s Advisory Committee on Toxic Substances (ACTS).

12. In November 2004, the HSE consulted known, interested Trade Associations, organisations representing small and medium-sized firms, and a number of companies known to use the substances in question. This was done using two questionnaires, one dealing with chlorine and the other dealing with 17 other substances. Information was sought on the size of the company responding, the uses of the substance and the likely costs of complying with the new limit value. Trade Associations and small firms organisations were requested to distribute the questionnaire to a selection of companies that they knew to be users of the substances. 14 chlorine questionnaires were distributed and 11 replies were received. For the other questionnaire, 90 copies were distributed and 20 responses were received.

OPTIONS

Option 1: Do nothing

13. Non-implementation of the Directive would cause infraction proceedings from the European Union, which would lead to a fine. This is something that the Government would not want to occur, other than in extreme cases of national interest.

Option 2: Implement the Directive

14. The United Kingdom is required under European law to implement this Commission Directive. In Great Britain we will do so by the HSC approving national occupational exposure limits, which are published in the HSE publication “EH40 Workplace Exposure Limits”. Workplace Exposure Limits are set by the HSC on the advice of ACTS. Comparable implementation will take place in both Northern Ireland and Gibraltar.

15. The Directive requires Member States of the European Union to establish a national exposure limit value for any chemical agent for which an IOELV has been set at Community level, taking into account the Community limit value. Member States therefore have limited scope to establish a national limit that differs numerically from the IOELV. Any such deviation would require robust socio-economic justification. ACTS has agreed the limits on which the HSC is consulting.

COSTS AND BENEFITS

16. Costs and benefits are calculated in 2004 prices over a 10-year period. The base year for appraisal is 2004. In arriving at 10-year cost figures, two assumptions are made. Firstly, earnings are assumed to rise by 1.8% a year in real terms, which is equal to the observed increase for the whole economy over the past 25 years or
so. Secondly, costs are discounted to present value using the Treasury-recommended 3.5% discount rate.

**Sectors and Groups Affected**

17. Because the RIA covers 33 different substances, a large number of business sectors are affected. Key uses of the substances where there is a planned change to the occupational exposure limit are in chemical, pesticide and pharmaceutical manufacture, rubber manufacturing and processing and the manufacture of paints, dyes and varnishes. The Directive has no direct effect on other types of organisation, or on the general public.

18. We do not believe that any of the proposals will have differential impacts on any particular group in terms of ethnicity, gender, disability or atypical work patterns.

**BENEFITS**

**Health and safety benefits**

19. It is expected that the implementation of IOELVs as WELs will have a positive impact on occupational ill-health. The effect will, however, be limited to substances without an existing exposure limit value\(^3\) or where current exposure limits are above the levels set by the Directive. Many of the substances covered in the Directive have the potential to cause irritation and possible damage to the respiratory tract. Hence, implementation of the Directive may contribute to a reduction in respiratory ill-health as a result of reducing exposures to substances that can cause respiratory tract irritation and lung damage.

20. However, it is not possible to provide a quantification of these health benefits, because there is no information on the number of ill-health cases caused by current levels of occupational exposure to the substances concerned. It is therefore not possible to estimate the number of cases of ill-health that might be prevented due to implementation of the Directive.

21. Implementation of the Directive may also have some positive effect on the environment, but its effect cannot be quantified.

**COSTS**

22. Of the 33 substances covered by this RIA, 14 have limit values that are the same or higher than the current WEL. For 12 of these substances, there will be no additional cost as a result of implementing the Directive (two substances attract an additional “skin” notation only). The 14 substances are:

- Acetonitrile (“skin” notation)
- Barium (soluble compounds as Ba)
- Carbon dioxide
- Chloroethane

3 The substances concerned are: 2(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol, 2-(2-Methoxyethoxy) ethanol and the three pentanes. See Annex 1 for more detail.
Chromium metal, Inorganic Chromium (II) Compounds and Inorganic Chromium (III) compounds

Cyclohexane
Formic acid
n-Hexane
Methanol
Monochlorobenzene
Nicotine
Oxalic acid
Resorcinol (skin" notation)
Silver (soluble compounds as Ag)

23. Three further substances are not deemed to be of concern to UK industry, either because they are not used in the UK, or because the change to the limit is so minimal as to have no appreciable effect. These are:

Bromine
2-(2-Methoxyethoxy) ethanol (DEGME)
Phosphine

24. HSE has investigated the implementation costs of 18 substances covered in this Regulatory Impact Assessment. These are:

Acetonitrile
2-Aminoethanol
2-(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol (DEGBE)
Chlorine
Cyanamide
Diethylamine
Diphosphorus pentasulphide
Diphosphorus pentoxide
Isopentane
Morpholine
Neopentane
Nitric acid
Nitrobenzene
Pentane
Phosphorus pentachloride
Pyrethrum
Resorcinol
Toluene

Information on the use profile and the consequences of implementing the Directive for each of these substances is at Annex 1.

25. Investigations conducted by HSE in November 2004 concluded that implementation of the Directive is unlikely to create additional costs to industry for most of the substances covered. The major part of the costs will fall on manufacturers and users of chlorine gas.

26. It has not been possible to estimate the total cost to industry of familiarisation with the new or revised limits resulting from the Directive. Nevertheless, it is unlikely that any one firm would need to familiarise itself with more than one or two new limits. Consequently HSE expects these costs to be very low.
Chlorine

27. The revised occupational exposure limit will affect the following sectors:

- chlorine manufacturers. There are three manufacturers of chlorine gas in the UK;
- chemical manufacturing companies using chlorine
- suppliers of chlorine in compressed gas cylinders
- companies installing and maintaining chlorination equipment
- swimming pools using chlorine for disinfection
- water utilities.

28. HSE investigations revealed that the Directive would substantially affect only the water utility companies. No additional costs are anticipated for the five other sectors from the revised limit. There are, in Great Britain, nine major water companies and approximately 15 smaller companies. These smaller companies are owned by, managed by or administered by, one or other of the main utilities. They are not considered to be SMEs. Only one part of these companies will need to make substantial changes to its working procedures to comply with the Directive. Furthermore, these costs vary significantly from one firm to another. According to HSE’s findings, certain water utility companies are already complying with the lower exposure limit.

29. The detailed information received on the number of firms in the water utility sector enabled HSE to estimate familiarisation costs for this sector. On the assumption that a manager costing £20 per hour spends an average of 1 hour familiarising him/herself with the revised limit for chlorine, the cost of familiarisation within the water utility sector will lie between £180 and £480.

Assumptions

30. 1) 60% of companies will incur costs to comply with the Directive.

2) Only one third of those companies will incur recurring costs (20% of all firms).

3) Average one-off cost £1,000,000.

---

4 The smallest producer is due to cease production.
5 The following analysis considers smaller companies as part of the main water companies. Cost estimates take into account this simplification.
7 The range allows for each sub-company to familiarise itself individually with the new limit.
8 These assumptions are based on companies’ replies to an HSE questionnaire sent out in 2004.
9 This figure includes costs of changes to sub companies
4) Average recurring cost £265,000.

31. The following table gives a breakdown of the costs.

**Table 1: Chlorine compliance costs for a typical water company**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Extra initial capital cost</th>
<th>Annual cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEV (Low Exhaust Ventilation)</td>
<td>£100,000</td>
<td>£40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric Scrubbers</td>
<td>£500,000</td>
<td>£50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training/supervisor</td>
<td>£100,000</td>
<td>£75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric monitoring of gas areas</td>
<td>£300,000</td>
<td>£25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remote closure systems improvement</td>
<td>£0</td>
<td>£25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewal of consumables</td>
<td>£0</td>
<td>£50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>£1,000,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>£265,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

32. Therefore, with the assumptions set out in paragraph 30, we arrive at the following estimates: first year cost: £5.4 million at 2004 prices\(^{11}\); ten-year present value cost of £9.8 million at 2004 prices\(^{12}\).

**Other substances**

33. 2-(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol, Cyanamide. A number of companies expressed concerns about the need to develop analytical methods for exposure measurements. Such methods are already available for each substance covered by the Directive (see paragraph 11 of the Consultative Document). This should not, therefore, create costs to industry.

34. Pentanes. A few companies within the construction sector estimated the need for a low capital investment to comply with the new pentane exposure limit values. The total capital cost was estimated at £4,000. This suggests that the impact of the Directive will not be significant with regard to these substances.

35. Pyrethrum. A body representing pyrethrum growers responded to HSE’s questionnaire claiming that the inclusion of pyrethrum within the Directive would lead to its cessation of use by pesticide manufacturers with serious knock-on effects for the growers, who are based mostly in East Africa. Nevertheless, an individual company known to process pyrethrum, and which HSE contacted, did not respond to the questionnaire.

---

\(^{10}\) Source: HSE 2005.

\(^{11}\) £5.4 million = £1 million capital costs x 9 water utility firms x 60% (proportion) of firms incurring costs.

\(^{12}\) £9.8 million = £5.4 million + SUM (from year 1 to year 10) 9 firms x (60% x 33%) (20% proportion of firms having recurring costs) x £0.265 million adjusted to present value.
Compliance costs to business

36. (i) One-off costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Industries affected</th>
<th>One-off costs £m, 2004prices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pentane, Isopentane, Neopentane</td>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>Water utility companies</td>
<td>£5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>£5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

37. (ii) Recurring costs

Total recurring costs to society are equal to the Water Utility sector recurring compliance costs for chlorine. Total recurring costs are then:
- First year: £265,000
- Ten year present value £4.4 million

38. Total compliance costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>One-off costs, £m, 2004/05 prices</th>
<th>Recurring costs (over ten years) £m, 2004/05 prices</th>
<th>Annualised recurring costs, £m, 2004/05 prices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implementation costs (familiarisation)</td>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>Not significant</td>
<td>£0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy costs</td>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>£5</td>
<td>£4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pentane, Isopentane, Neopentane</td>
<td>£0.004</td>
<td>£0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>£5.4</td>
<td>£4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Costs to HSE

39. There are not expected to be significant additional costs to HSE.

Total costs to society

40. Total costs to society are equal to compliance costs of the Directive. Over 10 years, costs to society are £9.8 million in present value terms.
SMALL FIRMS IMPACT TEST

41. When carrying out its evaluation of the likely cost of the Directive, HSE:
   • contacted all trade associations known or thought to be associated with the substances in question and asked them to distribute the costs questionnaire to a broad range of their member companies, both large and small;
   • wrote individually to a further 19 companies known to manufacture one or more of the substances, asking them to complete the costs questionnaire;
   • wrote to organisations representing small firms, such as the Federation of Small Businesses and the Institute of Directors, requesting their input;
   • contacted an additional 7 user companies were contacted direct, in respect of chlorine, in addition to the questionnaire being sent to relevant trade associations and local authorities.

42. A quarter of the firms who responded to HSE’s questionnaire had less than 50 employees, and none of these anticipated any additional costs in complying with the limit changes in the Directive. HSE therefore believes that the Directive will not have a disproportionate effect on small companies.

COMPETITION ASSESSMENT

43. The Directive will affect many, diverse industrial sectors. Measuring the potential impact on competition in the numerous affected markets is difficult. In these circumstances, the Office of Fair Trading recommends to select markets with a high degree of supplier concentration, as adverse competition impacts are more likely to occur in such markets. In this case, the water utility industry is identified as a key sector.

44. There are, in Great Britain, 9 main water companies and approximately 15 smaller companies. Some of the smaller companies are owned by, managed by, under contract to or administered by, one or other of the main utilities.

45. The Directive will affect the market by lowering the short-term exposure limit for chlorine from 1 ppm to 0.5 ppm. This measure is likely to affect some water utility firms more than others. According to HSE investigations, some firms would incur substantial costs to comply with an occupational exposure limit of 0.5 ppm, while others already comply with the Directive. This may lead to a change in the existing market structure. Implementation of the Directive would not, however, have any differential impact on existing water utility companies compared to potential new entrants.

46. Production processes have been changing in recent years. The use of chlorine gas is declining within the industry, particularly in favour of SO₂ and hypochlorite. The Directive may accelerate this changing process. Finally, the Directive would not impose minimum requirements, thus not limiting firms’ choices on the market.
ENFORCEMENT, SANCTIONS AND MONITORING

47. Compliance with this Directive will be through the enforcing authorities of the Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974. The enforcement of health and safety law is informed by the principles of proportionality, in applying the law and securing compliance, consistency of approach, targeting of enforcement action and transparency about how the regulator operates and what those regulated may expect.

ARRANGEMENTS FOR MONITORING AND EVALUATION

48. No formal evaluation of the effects of the imposition of the new limits is planned. However, the new limits will be implemented through the new OEL framework. This forms part of HSE’s Disease Reduction Programme, which places more emphasis on activities that will have a direct impact on the workplace. The evaluation of some new limits will form part of the evaluation of the impact of key projects on skin and respiratory disease under the Disease Reduction Programme.

IMPLEMENTATION AND DELIVERY PLAN

To be included in the full RIA.

POST-IMPLEMENTATION REVIEW

To be included in the full RIA.

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION

Balance of costs and benefits

49. Exposure to the chemical substances, for which the Directive sets IOELVs, can result in adverse health effects. Limiting exposure to the substances is therefore necessary to protect human health. However, the UK currently has regulations which place a limit on the level of permitted exposure and HSE considers that the lower limits set by the Directive are not expected to offer any additional quantifiable benefits. Over a ten-year period, total costs are estimated to be around £9.8 million in present value terms (2004 prices). It is worth noting that the costs in this RIA are subject to the uncertainties set out in the following section.

Uncertainties

50. The total costs of compliance are based on best estimates of the main uses of the substances, the number of firms affected and the number of people affected.

DECLARATION AND PUBLICATION

To be included in the full RIA.
Contact point

Steve Mason, International Chemicals Unit, Disease Reduction Division, Health and Safety Executive, Rose Court, 2 Southwark Bridge, London SE1 9HS
Telephone: 020 7717 6122
Annex 1 of RIA

Information on Individual Substances

1) Acetonitrile

Limit proposed in the Directive

\[ 70 \text{ mg.m}^{-3}/ 40 \text{ ppm (8-hour TWA)} \]

Skin notation

Current GB limit

\[ 68 \text{ mg.m}^{-3}/ 40 \text{ ppm (8-hour TWA)} \]
\[ 102 \text{ mg.m}^{-3}/ 60 \text{ ppm (STEL)} \]

IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES

CAS No: 75-05-8

EC No: 200-835-2

Empirical formula: \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{N} \)

Synonyms: Cyanomethane, ethyl nitrile, methanecarbonitrile, methyl cyanide, ethanenitrile

Molecular Weight: 41.05

Acetonitrile is classified in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations as highly flammable, acutely harmful by all routes of exposure and irritant, and is assigned the risk (R) phrases: \( R11, R20/21/22, R36 \).

OCCURRENCE AND USE

Acetonitrile is a colourless liquid with an aromatic odour. It is used in organic synthesis as a starting material for acetophenone, alpha-naphthaleneacetic acid, thiamine, acetamidine, amides, amines, higher molecular weight mono- and dinitriles, halogenated nitriles, ketones, isocyanates and heterocycles.

It is used in the manufacture of acrylic fibres, pharmaceuticals, perfumes, nitrile rubber and pesticides. It is used to remove tars, phenols and colouring matter from petroleum hydrocarbons, to extract fatty acids from fish liver, animal and vegetable oils, and to recrystallise steroids. It is used wherever a polar solvent having a high dielectric constant is required, as a solvent in non-aqueous titrations, and as a non-aqueous solvent for inorganic salts.

There is no UK manufacture of acetonitrile. End-use is believed to be widespread. Dermal exposure is possible during sampling, filling and maintenance activities. Automatic systems are in widespread use for sampling and filling.

EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE

There will be an additional “skin” notation. The existing 8-hour TWA limit and STEL will remain unchanged.
2) 2-Aminoethanol

Limit proposed in the Directive
2.5 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/1 ppm (8-hour TWA)
7.6 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/3 ppm (STEL)

Skin notation

Current GB limit
7.6 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/3 ppm (8-hour TWA)
15 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/6 ppm (STEL)

IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES

CAS No: 141-43-5
EC No: 205-483-3

Empirical formula: \(\text{NH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}\)

Synonyms: Ethanolamine, monoethanolamine

Molecular Weight: 61.08

Conversion factor: (20\(^{\circ}\)C, 101 kPa): 2.54 mg.m\(^{-3}\) = 1ppm

2-Aminoethanol is classified in the Approved Supply List (ASL) under the CHIP 2002 Regulations as acutely harmful by inhalation and irritant, and is assigned the risk (R) phrases R20 and R36/37/38. Consultation has recently concluded on amendments to the ASL under which this will change to harmful by all routes of exposure and corrosive, with the R phrases R20/21/22 and R34.

OCCURRENCE AND USE

2-Aminoethanol is a colourless liquid, with an ammoniacal odour. It has a melting-point of 10.5\(^{\circ}\)C, a boiling point of 170\(^{\circ}\)C and a vapour pressure of 0.05 kPa at 20\(^{\circ}\)C. It has a vapour density of 2.1 times that of air and is explosive in the range of 2.5 to 17% in air. The odour threshold is 2 to 3 ppm (5 to 8 mg.m\(^{-3}\)).

2-Aminoethanol is widely used in industry, including production of detergents and soaps, synthesis of dyestuffs, rubber vulcanisation and the removal of acidic gases from atmospheres, such as carbon dioxide from submarines. Use of the substance is believed to be diminishing. One cosmetics manufacturing company uses small amounts of 2-aminoethanol with good controls in place and very few people exposed.

There is no longer any UK manufacture of this substance.

EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE

The 8-hour TWA limit will be reduced by a factor of 3. The STEL will be halved. There will be an additional “skin” notation.
3) 2-(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol

Limit proposed in the Directive
67.5 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/10ppm (8-hour TWA)
101.2 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/15 ppm (STEL)

Current GB limit
None

IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES

CAS No: 112-34-5
EC No: 203-961-6
Empirical formula: C\(_8\)H\(_{18}\)O\(_3\)
Synonyms: DEGBE, diethylene glycol butyl ether, butyl diglycol
Molecular Weight: 162.23
Conversion factor: (20\(^{\circ}\)C, 101 kPa): 6.75 mg.m\(^{-3}\) = 1ppm

2-(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol is classified in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations as irritating to eyes, and is assigned the risk (R) phrase R36.

OCCURRENCE AND USE

2-(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol (DEGBE) is a liquid. It is miscible with water (log Pow = 0.56). Mpt is -68\(^{\circ}\)C, bpt 228-234\(^{\circ}\)C at 1013 hPa, vapour pressure 0.027 hPa at 20\(^{\circ}\)C.

DEGBE is used as a solvent in paints, dyes, inks, detergents and cleaners. It is also used as intermediate, as component of fire extinguisher foam and of hydraulic fluids. Two-thirds of the total tonnage of DEGBE is used in a range of formulated detergents, hard surface cleaners and metal cleaners used by professional trades and members of the public. The second largest usage of DEGBE is as a solvent in surface coatings.

There is one known UK manufacturer of DEGBE.

EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE

New limits (both 8-hour TWA and STEL) will be introduced where none so far exist.
4) Chlorine

Limit proposed in the Directive 1.5 mg.m⁻³ / 0.5 ppm (STEL)

Current GB limit 1.5 mg.m⁻³ / 0.5 ppm (8-hour TWA)
2.9 mg.m⁻³ / 1 ppm (STEL)

IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES

CAS No: 7782-50-5
EC No: 231-959-5
Empirical formula: Cl₂

Conversion factor: 1 ppm = 2.9 mg.m⁻³ at 25°C

Chlorine is classified in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations as toxic by inhalation, irritating to eyes, respiratory system and skin, and very toxic to aquatic organisms, and is assigned the risk (R) phrases R23, R36/37/38, R50.

OCCURRENCE AND USE

Chlorine is a major tonnage chemical produced by the electrolysis of brine, and is used widely in the manufacture of plastics, agrochemicals, pharmaceuticals, flame retardants, bromine and titanium oxide and as a disinfectant. It is also released when using sodium hypochlorite solution for bleaching, water treatment and disinfection. Workers are exposed to chlorine during manufacture, in its use as a chemical intermediate, and its use as a disinfectant in water treatment systems.

The largest manufacturer of chlorine in Great Britain produces approximately 600,000 tonnes per annum, of which 70-80% is consumed on-site for the manufacture of other chemicals such as ethylene dichloride and sodium hypochlorite. The other manufacturer produces around 100,000 tonnes per annum of which 60% is used on-site for the manufacture of hydrochloric acid, hypochlorite and ferric chloride. Controls in chemical manufacturing using chlorine are believed to be more than adequate to ensure that exposures, if any, are below proposed limits. Full facepiece air-fed or filtered canister RPE is used for potential exposure from uncoupling during off-loading bulk chlorine deliveries to site storage, which is the most likely source of exposure.

There are believed to be 4 GB-based companies who install and maintain chlorination equipment. They usually supply equipment to water utilities, larger swimming pools and other chlorine gas users. Exposure only occurs if there are leaks. The areas where chlorination equipment is located often have chlorine detectors as standard, and where this is not the case, air-fed RPE is worn during those parts of the set-up and testing and maintenance of the installation when a possibility of exposure exists.

Chlorine gas is still used in some municipal or large, privately-owned swimming pools as a direct means of disinfection. Direct chlorination has largely been superseded by the use of hypochlorite tablets and solutions.

There are believed to be two GB companies supplying chlorine as a compressed gas in cylinders obtained from chlorine manufacturers. Empty cylinders are subsequently returned for re-filling and, once filled, transported back to the supplier. Exposure is only possible if there is accidental release.
There are nine main water companies and approximately 15 smaller companies in GB, some of these being owned or managed under contract or administered by one or other of the main Utilities. Virtually all water companies use chlorine as the primary disinfection agent, as it is generally the most economic option. This is despite the additional control measures that need to be taken to ensure it is managed properly and safely. The number of people potentially exposed is declining as companies prefer to use specialist trained staff to manage the use of chlorine and other systems, rather than use generalist employees for whom changing gas cylinders etc. would only be part of their duty. Air-fed RPE is worn as standard when changing cylinders.

**EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE**

There will be a reduction of the STEL by one half. It is planned to additionally remove the current British 8-hour TWA limit, as it will cease to be relevant.
5) Cyanamide

Limit proposed in the Directive 0.58 ppm/ 1 mg.m\(^{-3}\) (8-hour TWA)
Skin notation

Current GB limit 2 mg.m\(^{-3}\) (8-hour TWA)

**IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES**

CAS No: 420-04-2

EC No: 206-992-3

Empirical formula: CH\(_2\)N\(_2\)  H\(_2\)N-C≡N

Synonyms: Amidocyanogen, Carbimide, Carbamitrile, Cyanoamine, N-cyanoamine, Cyanogenamitde

Molecular Weight: 42.04

Conversion factor: (20\(^\circ\)C, 101 kPa):1 mg.m\(^{-3}\) = 0.58 ppm; 1 ppm = 1.72 mg.m\(^{-3}\)

Cyanamide is classified in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations as toxic by ingestion, harmful in contact with skin, irritating to eyes and skin, and a skin sensitiser, and is assigned the risk (R) phrases: R21, R25, R36/38, R43.

**OCCURRENCE AND USE**

At room temperature, cyanamide is a crystalline solid but is deliquescent and combustible. It is often stored as a 25 % liquid solution but can, by the evaporation of aqueous solutions to dryness, undergo explosive polymerisation. It is soluble in water (78g/100ml), alcohols, ethers, ketones, but is less soluble in benzene and halogenated hydrocarbons. Decomposition, which begins at 122\(^\circ\)C, produces dicyandiamide (via dimerisation), hydrogen cyanide, oxides of nitrogen and carbon monoxide.

Cyanamide is used in chemical syntheses including as an intermediate for dicyandiamide in melamine production, as a fumigant, in metal cleaning and refining of ores and the production of synthetic rubber. Cyanamide and its calcium salt has been used as a therapeutic agent for its “Antabuse-like “ effect in the treatment of alcoholics. It is not known which of these uses occur in the UK. There is no known UK manufacture of cyanamide.

**EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE**

The 8-hour TWA limit will be halved. There will be an additional “Skin” notation.
6) Diethylamine

Limit proposed in the Directive
- 15 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/ 5 ppm (8-hour TWA)
- 30 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/10 ppm (STEL)

Current GB limit
- 30 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/ 10 ppm (8-hour TWA)
- 76 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/ 25 ppm (STEL)

**IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES**

CAS No: 109-89-7

EC No: 203-716-3

Empirical formula:

---

Synonyms: DEA, Diethamine, N-Ethylethanamine, N,N-Diethylamine, Ethanamine, Amin, diethyl-

Conversion factor: 1 ppm = 3.04 mg.m\(^{-3}\), 1 mg.m\(^{-3}\) = 0.334 ppm

Diethylamine is classified in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations as highly flammable, harmful by inhalation, contact with skin and ingestion, and corrosive, and is assigned the risk (R) phrases R11, R20/21/22, R35.

**OCCURRENCE, PRODUCTION AND USE**

Diethylamine (DEA) is an alkaline, colourless, volatile liquid with a strong ammoniacal odour. The human olfactory threshold for diethylamine is 0.14 ppm (0.42 mg.m\(^{-3}\)), it is miscible in water and many organic solvents.

Diethylamine is used in the production of the corrosions inhibitor N, N-diethylethanalamine (DEAF), and in the production of some pesticides and insect repellents, pharmaceuticals (e.g. the alcohol antagonist disulfiram ANTABUS®, flurazepam, lidocaine) and rubber processing chemicals. Diethylamine is also used in the paint, lacquer, and varnish industries. Workers who handle with triethylamine, a volatile amine used as an catalyst, are indirectly exposed to diethylamine, since it has been shown that triethylamine is metabolized to form diethylamine in humans (Akesson et al., 1989).

There is no UK manufacture of diethylamine. When used in the manufacture of acrylates at one known plant, only small amounts are used in fume cupboards or with the use of air-fed hoods in sealed vessels. Exposure is not therefore considered to be a problem.

**EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE**

The 8-hour TWA limit will be halved. The STEL will be reduced by slightly more than half.
7) Diphosphorus pentasulphide

Limit proposed in the Directive 1 mg.m\(^{-3}\) (8-hour TWA) (No STEL)
Current GB limit 1 mg.m\(^{-3}\) (8-hour TWA)
3 mg.m\(^{-3}\) (STEL)
HSE recommendation 2 mg.m\(^{-3}\) (STEL)

IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES

CAS No: 1314-80-3
EC No: 215-242-1
Empirical formula: \(\text{P}_4\text{S}_{10}\)

Synonyms: Phosphorus pentasulphide, phosphorus sulphide, thiophosphoric anhydride, phosphoric sulphide, phosphorus persulphide, sulphur phosphide, phosphorus (v) sulphide, tetraphosphorus decasulphide.

Molecular Weight: 444.54

Conversion factor:

Diphosphorus pentasulphide is classified in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations as highly flammable, harmful by inhalation and ingestion, and very toxic to aquatic organisms, and is assigned the risk (R) phrases \(R11, R20/22, R29, R50\).

OCCURRENCE AND USE

Diphosphorus pentasulphide is a yellow to green flammable, hygroscopic crystal. It is prepared by reaction of phosphorus with sulphur.

It is a starting material to produce a large number of compounds including lube-oil additives (mainly zinc dialkyldithiophosphates), rubber additives, insecticides and flotation agents.

There is no UK manufacture or large-scale use of diphosphorus pentasulphide. Only small-scale R&D use is known to occur in the UK.

EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE

None. However, HSE wishes to reduce the existing STEL by one third to comply with a recommendation by WATCH. All the phosphorus-containing compounds are then subject to the same limit.
8) Diphosphorus pentoxide

Limit proposed in the Directive 1 mg.m⁻³ (8-hour TWA)
Current GB limit 2 mg.m⁻³ (STEL)

IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES

CAS No: 1314-56-3
EC No: 215-236-1

Empirical formula: P₂O₂ (P₄O₁₀)

Synonyms: Diphosphorus pentaoxide, phosphorus (V) oxide, phosphorus pentoxide

Diphosphorus pentoxide is classified in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations as corrosive, and assigned the risk (R) phrase R35.

OCCURRENCE AND USE

Diphosphorus pentoxide is a white polymorphic solid which decomposes in water to form orthophosphoric acid. It is manufactured by burning elemental phosphorus in excess dry air at 200°C and it is used extensively as a dehydrating agent and in the manufacture of other phosphoric compounds. Workplace exposures are likely to be minimal due to containment of the process during manufacture and use. The principal risk of exposure is from inhalation of aerosol and from splashes and spillages. Exposure data are limited.

There is no known UK manufacture of diphosphorus pentoxide. HSE’s discussions with user firms have revealed no concerns over the new 8-hour TWA limit because of the existing controls that are already in place.

EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE

There will be an 8-hour TWA limit where one does not exist at the moment. The existing STEL will remain unchanged.
9) Morpholine

Limit proposed in the Directive
36 mg.m\(^{-3}\) / 10 ppm (8-hour TWA)
72 mg.m\(^{-3}\) / 20 ppm (STEL)

Current GB limit
72 mg.m\(^{-3}\) / 20 ppm (8-hour TWA)
109 mg.m\(^{-3}\) / 30 ppm (STEL)

Skin notation

IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES

CAS No: 110-91-8
EC No: 203-815-1

Empirical formula: C\(_4\)H\(_9\)NO

Synonyms: 1-oxa-4-azacyclohexane; tetra-2H-1,4-oxazine; diethylene oximide; diethyleneimide oxide.

Molecular Weight: 87.12

Conversion factor: (20°C, 101.3 kPa) 3.62 mg/m\(^{3}\) = 1 ppm.

Morpholine is classified in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations as flammable, harmful by inhalation, skin contact and ingestion, and corrosive, and is assigned the risk (R) phrases R10, R20/21/22, R34.

OCCURRENCE AND USE

Morpholine is a colourless, oily, hygroscopic, volatile liquid with a characteristic amine ('fishy') smell. The human olfactory threshold for morpholine is 0.036 mg.m\(^{-3}\). It is completely miscible with water, as well as with many organic solvents, but has limited solubility in alkaline aqueous solutions. Morpholine is a strong base, the 0.01% (w/w) mixtures having a pH of 9.4, and the 10% (w/w) mixtures having a pH of 11.2. It has an MPt of -3.1°C (-3.1 to -5), a BPt of 128.9°C (128-130) and a vapour pressure of 1.1 kPa at 20°C. The saturated vapour concentration is 38,000 mg/m\(^{3}\) (20°C).

N-nitrosomorpholine (NMOR) can be formed by reaction of aqueous solutions of nitrite with morpholine or by reaction of gaseous nitrogen oxides in aqueous solutions of morpholine.

Morpholine is an extremely versatile chemical. It is most used as a chemical intermediary in the rubber industry, in corrosion control, and in the synthesis of a large number of drugs, crop protection agents, dyes and optical brighteners. It is also a solvent for a large variety of organic materials, including resins, dyes and waxes. UK use is thought to be limited to detergent manufacture and tyre manufacture and additionally as a corrosion inhibitor and for medical purposes. There is one known UK manufacturer of morpholine, making around 5,000 tonnes each year.

EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE

The existing 8-hour TWA limit will be halved. The existing STEL will be reduced by one third. The skin notation will be retained.
10) Nitric Acid

Limit proposed in the Directive 2.6 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/1 ppm (STEL)

Current GB limit 5.2 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/ 2 ppm (8-hour TWA)
10 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/ 4 ppm (STEL)

IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES

CAS No: 7697-37-2

EC No: 231-714-2

Empirical formula: HNO\(_3\)

Synonyms: Hydrogen nitrate, nitrous fumes, nitryl hydroxide, aqua fortis

Molecular Weight: 63.01

Conversion factor: (20\(^{\circ}\)C, 101 kPa): 2.62 mg.m\(^{-3}\) = 1 ppm

Nitric acid is classified in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations as oxidising and corrosive, and is assigned the risk (R) phrases R8, R35.

OCCURRENCE AND USE

Nitric acid is a clear colourless or yellowish liquid with a characteristic choking odour. It has a MPt of -41.6\(^{\circ}\)C, a BPt of 83\(^{\circ}\)C and a vapour pressure of 6.2 kPa at 20\(^{\circ}\)C. The vapour density is 2.2 that of air. The odour threshold is in the region of 0.3-1 ppm (0.75-2.5 mg.m\(^{-3}\)). In moist air it forms a white fume, containing 0.1-0.4 % NO\(_2\), and when heated or in the presence of light it readily decomposes to red fuming nitric acid, containing 8-17 % NO\(_2\).

Nitric acid is formed as a reaction product of water and nitrogen dioxide from various natural sources and ambient air. Nitric acid is a major industrial acid. It is used in the manufacture of fertilisers and in etching, dipping, plating and engraving processes. Exposure to nitric acid also occurs indirectly by exposure to nitrogen dioxide, a major air pollutant, which is converted to nitric acid in the aqueous environment of the upper respiratory tract. The production rate in the EU is in excess of 10,000 tonnes per annum.

EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE

The existing STEL will be reduced by a factor of 4. HSE plans, additionally, to remove the existing 8-hour TWA limit, as it will be higher than the new STEL.
11) Nitrobenzene

Limit proposed in the Directive
1 mg.m\(^{-3}\) / 0.2 ppm (8-hour TWA)
Skin notation

Current GB limit
5.1 mg.m\(^{-3}\) / 1 ppm (8-hour TWA)
10 mg.m\(^{-3}\) / 2 ppm (STEL)
Skin notation

IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES

CAS No: 98-95-3

EC No: 202-716-0

Empirical formula: C\(_6\)H\(_5\)NO\(_2\)

Molecular Weight: 123.11

Nitrobenzene is classified in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations as a Category 3 carcinogen and reprotoxin, acutely toxic by inhalation, skin contact and ingestion, toxic by prolonged exposure by inhalation and skin contact and toxic to aquatic organisms. Nitrobenzene is assigned the risk (R) phrases \(R40, R62, R23/24/25, R48/23/24, R51, R53\).

OCCURRENCE AND USE

Nitrobenzene is used industrially as an intermediate in the production of aniline and aniline-derived products. Potential for human exposure is principally via inhalation and dermal uptake (Piotrowski 1967, Dorigan and Hushon 1976).

There is one known UK manufacturer of nitrobenzene, all of which is then used to make aniline. All exposures here are well below the current GB limit. Another company is known to import nitrobenzene for conversion to p-amino phenol, and again, exposures are normally well below the existing GB limit.

EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE

Reduction of the 8-hour TWA limit by a factor of 5. It is additionally planned to withdraw the existing British STEL.
**12/13/14) Pentane, Isopentane and Neopentane**

Limit proposed in the Directive 3000 mg.m\(^{-3}\) \(\approx\) 1000 ppm (8-hour TWA)

Current UK limit 1800 mg.m\(^{-3}\) \(\approx\) 600 ppm (8-hour TWA) (non-statutory)

**IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES**

CAS No: Pentane: 109-66-0

Isopentane: 78-78-4

Neopentane: 463-82-1

EC No: Pentane: 203-692-4

Isopentane: 201-142-8

Neopentane: 207-343-7

Empirical formula: Pentane: \(\text{CH}_3-(\text{CH}_2)_3-\text{CH}_3\)

Isopentane: \((\text{CH}_3)_2-\text{CH}-\text{CH}_2-\text{CH}_3\)

Neopentane: \(\text{C}(\text{CH}_3)_4\)

Synonyms: Pentane: n-pentane

Isopentane: 2-methylbutane

Neopentane: 2,2-dimethylpropane

Molecular Weight: 72.15

Conversion factor: \((20^\circ\text{C}, 101\text{ kPa})\): 3.00 mg.m\(^{-3}\) = 1 ppm

Pentane and isopentane and neopentane are classified in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations as extremely flammable, and toxic to aquatic organisms, and are assigned the risk (R) phrases \(R12, R51/53\).

Pentane and isopentane are additionally classified in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations as harmful to the lungs if swallowed, and as causative agents of skin dryness, drowsiness and dizziness. They are assigned the additional risk (R) phrases \(R65, R66, R67\).
**OCCURRENCE AND USE**

Pentane is a colourless liquid at room temperature, with an odour similar to that of petrol. It has a MPt of -129.7°C, a BPt of 36.1°C and a vapour pressure of 68.3 kPa at 25°C. The vapour density is 2.49 times that of air and it is explosive in the range 1.42 to 7.8% in air.

Isopentane is also a colourless liquid at room temperature. It has a MPt of -19.8°C, a BPt of 27.9°C and a vapour pressure of 91.7 kPa at 25°C. The vapour density is 2.49 times that of air and it is explosive in the range 1.32 to 8.3% in air.

Neopentane is gaseous at room temperature. It has a BPt of 95°C and a vapour pressure of 171 kPa at 25°C. The vapour density is 2.49 times that of air and it is explosive in the range 1.4 to 8.3% in air.

Pentane and its isomers are components of gasolines and different volatile fractions of petroleum distillation. They are used in many synthetic processes, including the manufacture of intermediate products, blowing agents and propellants, in polymerisation reactions and as extraction solvents. The most important application is in the production of petrol.

Data for production and use in the EU are not available. As commercial products, pentane and isopentane both exist as mixtures of saturated hydrocarbons with 5, 6 and 7 carbon atoms. They may be obtained as laboratory reagents with purity exceeding 99%.

Exposure levels to all pentanes are controlled to well below the proposed IOELV for reasons of flammability.

**EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE**

In order to comply with the Directive, specific OELs are required. Currently there are no formal OELs in place for these three pentane isomers. However, these isomers fall into one of the generic groups for which the Advisory Committee on Toxic Substances (ACTS) has recommended guidance values for use in the UK Reciprocal Calculation Procedure (RCP). Although it would be feasible to adopt the IOELV set at 1000 ppm, HSE has decided that a better option is to consult on adoption of the guidance values for these three isomers as formal limits. Guidance values for the remaining substances that fall into the generic groups for the purposes of the RCP will remain guidance values and will not become formal limits. This approach would follow the generic approach previously endorsed by ACTS and should not pose any problems of reasonable practicability.
15) Phosphorus pentachloride

Limit proposed in the Directive 1 mg.m\(^{-3}\) (8-hour TWA) (No STEL)

Current GB limit 0.87 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/0.1 ppm (8-hour TWA) (No STEL)

WATCH recommendation 2 mg.m\(^{-3}\) (STEL)

**IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES**

CAS No: 10026-13-8

EC No: 233-060-3

Empirical formula: \(\text{PCl}_5\)

Synonyms: Phosphorus perchloride, phosphorus (v) chloride, pentachlorophosphorane, phosphoric chloride

Molecular Weight: 208.24

Phosphorus pentachloride is classified in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations as very toxic by inhalation, acutely harmful by inhalation, harmful by prolonged exposure through inhalation, and corrosive. It is assigned the risk (R) phrases: \(\text{R14, R22, R26, R29, R34 and R48/20}\).

**OCCURRENCE AND USE**

Phosphorus pentachloride is a pale yellow solid that fumes in moist air. It is prepared by direct union of phosphorus with excess chlorine.

Phosphorus pentachloride is used as a chlorinating agent and dehydrating agent for the synthesis of a variety of inorganic and organic phosphorus derivatives, used as pesticides, water treatment chemicals, flame retardants, phosphite antioxidants, plasticisers and stabilisers for plastic and elastomers, lube oil and paint additives. It is used to improve grain structure in metal casting.

There is no UK manufacture or large-scale use of phosphorus pentachloride. Only small-scale R&D use is known to occur in the UK.

**EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE**

None. However, HSE wishes introduce a STEL set at 2mg.m\(^{-3}\) to comply with a recommendation by WATCH. For reasons of consistency, HSE believes that it is appropriate for the STEL for this substance to be the same as those for the other phosphorus-containing compounds.
16) **Pyrethrum (purified of sensitising lactones)**

Limit proposed in the Directive  
1 mg.m$^{-3}$ (8-hour TWA)  
(No STEL)

Current GB limit  
5 mg.m$^{-3}$ (8-hour TWA)  
10 mg.m$^{-3}$ (STEL)

**IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES**

CAS No: 8003-34-7  
EC No: 232-319-8

Synonyms: Dalmatian insect flowers; pyrethrins; pyrenone.

The term “pyrethrum” identifies a natural insecticide produced by certain species of the chrysanthemum plant. Pyrethrum contains a variable mixture of pyrethrins, mainly cinerin I and II, jasmolin I and II, and pyrethrin I and II. Usually, pyrethrins account for around 25% of the mixture, with smaller amounts of the related cinerin and jasmolin.

Molecular Weight: 328.4

Conversion factor: (for pyrethrin I) 1 mg.m$^{-3}$ = 0.074 ppm; 1 ppm = 13.51 mg.m$^{-3}$

Pyrethrum itself does not appear in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations, although several of its components including Pyrethrin I and II and Cinerin I and II are listed. Pyrethrin I and II are classified as harmful by inhalation, skin contact and ingestion and are assigned the risk (R) phrases R20/21/22, R50/53. Cinerin I and II are harmful by ingestion.

**OCCURRENCE AND USE**

The flowers of the plant are harvested shortly after blooming and are either dried and powdered or the oils within the flowers are extracted with solvents. The resulting pyrethrin containing extracts usually have an active ingredient content of about 30%; the remaining consists of oleoresins containing glycoproteins and sesquiterpene lactones. These compounds are not usually present in the purified commercially available formulations.

After extraction with solvents, Pyrethrum is a viscous liquid or oil-coloured from yellow to brown, depending on state of purity.

Pyrethrum compounds have been used primarily to control human lice and scabies, mosquitoes, cockroaches, beetles and flies. Some "pyrethrin dusts", used to control insects in horticultural crops, contain from 0.3% to 0.5% pyrethrins, and are used at rates of up to 50 lb/A. Other pyrethrin compounds may be used in grain storage and in poultry and on dogs and cats to control lice and fleas. The natural pyrethrins are contact poisons that quickly penetrate the nerve system of the insect.

Pyrethrins, generally combined with a synergist, piperonyl butoxide, are used in sprays and aerosols against a wide range of flying insects.

The most common pyrethrum formulations are the following:

**Public health purposes:** 0.2-0.4% in dusty formulations; 0.2-0.4% dissolved in kerosene or petroleum distillate; 0.05-0.10% in solution, with additional agents, in kerosene as flying insects spray; 0.5-2% in shampoos for human and pet usage.

**Household use:** 0.15-0.30% in dusty formulations; 0.015-1.2% in aerosol pressure packs; 0.05-0.10% in sprays
EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE

There will be a reduction of the 8-hour TWA limit (for pyrethrins) by a factor of 5. It is additionally planned to withdraw the existing STEL. The limit proposed in the Directive does not apply to unrefined pyrethrum.
17) **Resorcinol**

Limit proposed in the Directive  45 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/10 ppm (8-hour TWA)  
(No STEL)  
Skin notation  
Current GB limit  46 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/10 ppm (8-hour TWA)  
92 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/20 ppm (STEL)

**IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES**

CAS No: 108-46-3  
EEC No: 203-585-2  
Empirical formula: C\(_6\)H\(_4\)(OH)\(_2\) or C\(_6\)H\(_6\)O\(_2\)  
Synonyms: Resorcin, m-dihydroxybenzene, 1,3-dihydroxybenzene, 1,3-benzenediol, 3-hydroxyphenol, m-hydroquinone  
Molecular Weight: 110.11  
Resorcinol is classified in the Approved Supply List under the CHIP 2002 regulations as harmful by ingestion and irritant to eyes and skin, and is assigned the risk (R) phrases R22, R36/38, R50.

**OCCURRENCE AND USE**

There are three isomeric compounds of dihydroxybenzene molecule structure. The meta (1,3) isomer is resorcinol, which forms clear needle crystals used in the production of diazo dyes and plasticisers. It is produced by sulphonating benzene with fuming sulphuric acid and fusing the resulting benzenedisulphonic acid with sodium hydroxide.

Resorcinol is used in resins as a UV absorber. It is used in manufacturing fluorescent and leather dyes and adhesives. Reaction with formaldehyde produces resins used to make rayon and nylon. It is used as a pharmaceutical to treat acne and other greasy skin conditions in combination with other treatments. It is used as an anti-dandruff agent in shampoo and sunscreen cosmetics. It is also used as a chemical intermediary to synthesise pharmaceuticals and other organic compounds.

**EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE**

There will be a new “Skin” notation. The existing GB limits (8-hour TWA and STEL) will remain unchanged.
18) Toluene

Limit proposed in the Directive
192 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/ 50 ppm (8-hour TWA)
384 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/ 100 ppm (STEL)

Skin notation

Current GB limit
191 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/ 50 ppm (8-hour TWA)
574 mg.m\(^{-3}\)/ 150 ppm (STEL)

Skin notation

IDENTITY AND PROPERTIES

CAS No: 108-88-3
EC No: 203-625-9

Empirical formula: C\(_8\)H\(_5\)CH\(_3\)

Synonyms: Methylbenzene, phenylmethane, toluol

Molecular Weight: 92.13

Conversion factor: (20°C, 101 kPa): 3.83 mg.m\(^{-3}\) = 1 ppm

Toluene is classified in the Approved Supply List (ASL) under the CHIP 2002 regulations as highly flammable and harmful by inhalation. Consultation has recently concluded on amendments to the ASL under which this will change to highly flammable, reprotoxic category 3, harmful by prolonged exposure by inhalation and because of aspiration hazard, and irritating to eyes. The risk (R) phrases assigned to toluene will be R11, R63, R48/20, R65, R38 and R67.

OCCURRENCE AND USE

Toluene is a colourless flammable liquid with an unpleasant sour to burned aromatic odour. It has a melting point of -95°C, a boiling point of 111 °C and a vapour pressure of 3.73 kPa at 25°C. It has a vapour density 3.2 times that of air and is explosive over the range 1.2 to 7.1 %. The odour threshold is about 5 ppm (18 mg.m\(^{-3}\)).

The production rate of toluene in the European Union is in the order of 1 million tonnes per annum. It is used in many types of industry as a solvent for paints, lacquers, fats, resins and other applications. It is an additive in petrol and therefore occurs worldwide. Toluene often occurs together with other solvents. Occupational exposure levels reported recently are generally below 200 ppm (750 mg.m\(^{-3}\)).

Occupational exposure is possible in the chemical industry and mineral oil and fuel industry where toluene and gasoline are produced, and where toluene is used as a chemical agent or as an ingredient (e.g. in polymers, paints, lacquers and varnishes, pulp, paper and board and in textile processing).

Relevant working populations potentially exposed are workers in the chemical industry, the paint industry and workers using products containing toluene (e.g. painters). In particular, the workers with most direct contact with toluene are most likely involved in:

- the production, drumming and transferral of toluene and gasoline;
- the use of toluene as an intermediate, process regulator or solvent in the chemical industry;
- the production and drumming of products containing toluene;
- the maintenance and cleaning of production facilities; and
- the use of toluene-containing products such as in printing and cleaning.
EFFECT OF DIRECTIVE

There will be a reduction of the existing STEL by a third. The 8-hour TWA limit, and the Skin notation will remain unchanged.
Annex 2 of RIA

Principles of Good Practice (Paragraph 10)

These eight principles of good practice apply regardless of whether a substance has an occupational exposure limit.

1. Design and operate processes and activities to minimise emission, release and spread of substances hazardous to health.
2. Take into account all relevant routes of exposure – inhalation, skin absorption and ingestion – when developing control measures.
3. Control exposure by measures that are proportionate to the health risk.
4. Choose the most effective and reliable control options which minimise the escape and spread of substances hazardous to health.
5. Where adequate control of exposure cannot be achieved by other means, provide, in combination with other control measures, suitable personal protective equipment.
6. Check and review regularly all elements of control measures for their continuing effectiveness.
7. Inform and train all employees on the hazards and risks from the substances with which they work, and the use of control measures developed to minimise the risks.
8. Ensure that the introduction of control measures does not increase the overall risk to health and safety.
Appendix 3

List of Organisations and Individuals Consulted

Government Departments

Cabinet Office – European Secretariat
Cabinet Office – Office of Public Service
Cabinet Office – Better Regulation Executive
Central Office of Information
Crown Estate Commissioners
Department of Agriculture and Rural Development – Northern Ireland
Department for Communities and Local Government
Department for Constitutional Affairs
Department for Education and Skills
Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs
  Chemicals and GM Policy Division
  Global Atmosphere Division
  Pesticides Safety Directorate
  Waste Management Division
  Water Quality Division
Department of Health
Department of Trade and Industry
Department of Trade and Industry – Small Business Service
Department for Transport
Department for Work and Pensions – Workplace Health Division
Foreign and Commonwealth Office
Health and Safety Agency for Northern Ireland
HM Prison Service
HM Revenue and Customs
HM Treasury
Home Office
Law Officers’ Departments
Ministry of Defence
National Assembly for Wales
Northern Ireland Department of Enterprise, Trade and Investment
Northern Ireland Office
Scottish Executive Environment and Rural Affairs Department
Scottish Executive Health Department

Public Bodies

British Broadcasting Corporation
Civil Aviation Authority
Countryside Agency
Environment Agency
Forestry Commission
Historic Royal Palaces Agency
House of Commons Library
House of Lords Library
Joint Nature Conservation Committee
Laboratory of the Government Chemist
Law Commission
Maritime and Coastguard Agency
National Consumer Council
Office for National Statistics
Scottish Environment Protection Agency
Scottish Law Commission
European Union, Crown Dependencies and Overseas Territories

Government of Gibraltar – Ministry of Employment
Health and Safety Authority – Republic of Ireland
Health and Safety Executive, Guernsey
Department of Local Government and the Environment, Isle of Man
Department of Employment and Social Security, Jersey
UK Permanent Representation to the European Union

Local Government Organisations

Association of London Government
Convention of Scottish Local Authorities
LACORS
Local Government Association
National Association of Local Councils
Northern Ireland Local Government Association

Employers’ Organisations and Small Firms’ Representatives

Alliance of Independent Retailers
British Association of Entrepreneurs
British Chambers of Commerce
Building Employers Federation
Confederation of British Industry
CBI – Smaller Firms Council
Electrical Contractors Association
Engineering Employers’ Federation
European Association of Craft, Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (UEAPME)
Federation of Small Businesses
Institute of Directors
Universities and Colleges Employers’ Association

Trade Unions and Employee Organisations

Amicus
Association of Teachers and Lecturers
Bakers, Food and Allied Workers Union
BALPA
BECTU
British Medical Association
Communications Workers Union
Fire Brigades Union
Fire Officers Association
General Federation of Trade Unions
GMB
NATFHE
National Association of Colliery Overmen, Deputies and Shotfirers
National Union of Domestic Appliances and General Operatives
NUMAST
Police Federation of England and Wales
Prospect
Royal College of Nursing
Scottish Police Federation
Scottish Trades Union Congress
Society of Radiographers
 Trades Union Congress
Trade Associations and Learned Bodies

Adhesive Tape Manufacturers Association
Agricultural Engineers Association
Agricultural Industries Confederation
Association of British Mining Equipment Companies
Association of the British Pharmaceutical Industry
Association of Light Alloy Refiners Ltd
Brick Development Association
British Adhesives and Sealants Association
British Aerosol Manufacturers Association
British Agrochemicals Association
British Apparel and Textile Confederation
British Association for Chemical Specialties
British Battery Manufacturers Association
British Ceramic Confederation
British Chemical Distributors and Traders Association
British Coatings Federation
British Colour Makers Association
British Contract Furnishing Association
British Electrotechnical and Allied Manufacturers Association
British Fluid Power Association
British Footwear Association
British Furniture Manufacturers Association
British Glass
British Institute of Professional Photography
British Jewellers’ Association
British Leather Confederation
British Non-Ferrous Metals Federation
British Pest Control Association
British Plastics Federation
British Printing Industries Federation
British Pump Manufacturers Association
British Pyrotechnists Association
British Rigid Urethane Foam Manufacturers Association
British Rubber Manufacturers Association
British Secondary Metals Association
British Surface Treatment Suppliers Association
British Textile Technology Group
British Veterinary Association
British Wood Preserving and Damp Proofing Association
Building Employers Confederation
Castings Development Centre
Cast Metals Federation
Chemical Industries Association
Civil Engineering Contractors Association
Composites Processing Association
Confederation of British Wool Textiles
Construction Industry Research and Information Association
Construction Products Association
Cosmetics, Toiletries and Perfumeries Association
Crop Protection Association
Dairy Industry Federation
Defence Manufacturers Association
Digital and Screen Printing Association
Energy Institute
Engineering Industries Association
European Process Safety Centre
Explosive Industry Group - CBI
Farmers Union of Wales
Fertiliser Manufacturers Association
Food and Drink Federation
Freight Transport Association
Friends of Pyrethrum
Glass and Glazing Federation
Grain and Feed Trade Association
Horticultural Trades Association
Institute of Metal Finishing
Institution of Chemical Engineers
Institution of Electrical Engineers
Intellect
Law Society of England and Wales
Law Society of Scotland
National Farmers Union
National Farmers Union of Scotland
National Federation of Demolition Contractors
National Specialist Contractors Council
Offshore Contractors Association
Paint Research Association
Painting and Decorating Association
Paper Federation of Great Britain
Plastics and Board Industries Federation
Quarry Products Association
Resin Flooring Association
Road Haulage Association
Royal Agricultural Society of England
Royal Highland and Agricultural Society of Scotland
Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain
The Royal Society
Royal Society of Chemistry
Scotch Whisky Association
Scottish Food and Drink Federation
Scottish Pharmaceutical Federation
Shipbuilders and Shiprepairers Association
Society of British Aerospace Companies
Society of British Gas Industries
Society of Chemical Industry
Society of Dyers and Colourists
Solvents Industry Association
Surface Engineering Association
Tank Storage Association
Textile Services Association
Tile Association
Timber Trade Association
UK Cleaning Products Industry Association
United Kingdom Lubricants Association
Water UK
Welding Manufacturers Association

**Police and Emergency Services Bodies**

Association of Chief Police Officers of England, Wales and Northern Ireland
Association of Chief Police Officers in Scotland
Chief Fire Officers’ Association
Health and Safety Specialists

Association of Port Health Authorities
Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council
British Institute of Occupational Hygiene
British Occupational Hygiene Society
British Safety Council
Chartered Institute of Environmental Health Officers
Institute of Occupational Medicine
Institution of Occupational Safety and Health
Natural Environment Research Council
Newcastle Occupational Health
Royal Environmental Health Institute of Scotland
Royal Society for the Prevention of Accidents
Society/Faculty of Occupational Medicine

Academic Institutions

Institute of Cancer Research
University of Birmingham – Institute of Occupational and Environmental Medicine
University of Manchester – Centre for Occupational and Environmental Health

Other Organisations

Cancer Research UK
The Consumers Association
The Environment Council

Individual Companies

Adshead Ratcliffe and Company Ltd
Agropharm Ltd
Airbus UK Ltd
Akcros Chemicals
Alcohols Ltd
Allied Glass Containers
Arkema Ltd
Atofina UK Ltd
Avon Rubber plc
BAE Systems
BASF plc Industrial Chemicals
Bayer UK Ltd
Becker Acroma Ltd
Britannia Refined Metals Ltd
Caswell Adhesives
Chemetek Ltd
Ciba Specialty Chemicals
Clariant UK Ltd
Contract Chemicals
Dexter Paints Ltd
Domino UK Ltd
Dunlop Aircraft Tyres Ltd
Elementis Chromium
Ellis and Everard (Chemicals) plc
Enersys
Fenner Dunlop
Four D Rubber Co Ltd
Hickson and Welch Ltd
Honeywill and Stein Ltd
Hornett Bros and Co Ltd
Huntsman Corporation UK plc
Huntsman European Chemicals
Ineos Chlor Ltd
International Paint Ltd
Kingspan Ltd
Kingspan Insulation Ltd
Luminescence
Mallinckrodt Chemical Ltd
Morris Lubricants
L’Oreal Manufacturing (UK) Ltd
PDM Neptec Ltd
Perstorp Ltd
Petrochem Carless
Pirelli UK Tyres Ltd
Polyflor Ltd
Rhodia UK Ltd
Safic-Alcan UK Ltd
SGS Vernolab Ltd
Sigma Aldrich Co Ltd
Solutia UK Ltd
Spray Nine Europe Ltd
Sun Chemical Ltd
Tennants Distribution Ltd
Witham Oil and Paint (Lowestoft) Ltd
Whyte Chemicals Group
RESPONSE FORM

Control of Substances Hazardous to Health Regulations 2002
Proposals for Workplace Exposure Limits to implement the 2nd European
Commission Directive on Indicative Occupational Exposure Limit Values

We would like you to tell us what you think about the proposals set out in this consultative document. The proposals are summarised below in this reply form which you may wish to copy or tear out and use. Please add extra sheets if you wish.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of organisation or company</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of individual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone number</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CHANGES TO EXISTING WORKPLACE EXPOSURE LIMITS</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 1:</strong> Do you agree that the WEL (8-hour TWA) for 2-aminoethanol should be reduced from 3 ppm (7.6 mg.m(^{-3})) to 1 ppm (2.5 mg.m(^{-3})) ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 2:</strong> Do you agree that the STEL for 2-aminoethanol should be reduced from 6 ppm (15 mg.m(^{-3})) to 3 ppm (7.6 mg.m(^{-3})) ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 3:</strong> Do you agree that the STEL for chlorine should be reduced from 1 ppm (2.9 mg.m(^{-3})) to 0.5 ppm (1.5 mg.m(^{-3})) ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 4:</td>
<td>Do you agree that the WEL (8-hour TWA) for chlorine, set at 0.5 ppm (1.5 mg.m(^{-3})) should be withdrawn? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 5:</td>
<td>Do you agree that the WEL (8-hour TWA) for cyanamidine should be reduced from 2 mg.m(^{-3}) to 1 mg.m(^{-3}) (0.58 ppm) ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 6:</td>
<td>Do you agree that the WEL (8-hour TWA) for diethylamine should be reduced from 10 ppm (30 mg.m(^{-3})) to 5 ppm (15 mg.m(^{-3})). If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 7:</td>
<td>Do you agree that the STEL for diethylamine should be reduced from 25 ppm (76 mg.m(^{-3})) to 10 ppm (30 mg.m(^{-3})) ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 8:</td>
<td>Do you agree that the WEL (8-hour TWA) for morpholine should be reduced from 20 ppm (72 mg.m(^{-3})) to 10 ppm (36 mg.m(^{-3})) ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 9:</td>
<td>Do you agree that the STEL for morpholine should be reduced from 30 ppm (109 mg.m(^{-3})) to 20 ppm (72 mg.m(^{-3})) ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 10:</td>
<td>Do you agree that the STEL for nitric acid should be reduced from 4 ppm (10 mg.m(^{-3})) to 1 ppm (2.6 mg.m(^{-3})) ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 11:</td>
<td>Do you agree that the WEL (8-hour TWA) for nitric acid, set at 2 ppm (5.2 mg.m(^{-3})), should be withdrawn ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 12</td>
<td>Do you agree that the WEL (8-hour TWA) for nitrobenzene should be reduced from 1 ppm (5.1 mg.m(^{-3})) to 0.2 ppm (1 mg.m(^{-3}))? If you do not agree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 13</td>
<td>Do you agree that the STEL for nitrobenzene, set at 2 ppm (10 mg.m(^{-3})), should be withdrawn? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 14</td>
<td>Do you agree that the STEL for phosphine should be reduced from 0.3 ppm (0.42 mg.m(^{-3})) to 0.2 ppm (0.28 mg.m(^{-3}))? If you disagree, please explain why. (see also Question 23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 15</td>
<td>Do you agree that the WEL for pyrethins of 5 mg.m(^{-3}) (8-hour TWA) be amended to a WEL for pyrethrum (purified of sensitising lactones) of 1 mg.m(^{-3})? If you do not agree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 16</td>
<td>Do you agree that the STEL for pyrethrins, set at 10 mg.m(^{-3}), should be withdrawn? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 17</td>
<td>Do you agree that the STEL for toluene should be reduced from 150 ppm (574 mg.m(^{-3})) to 100 ppm (384 mg.m(^{-3}))? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NEW WORKPLACE EXPOSURE LIMITS**

<p>| Question 18 | Do you agree with the introduction of new WELs for isopentane, neopentane and pentane of 600 ppm (1,800 mg.m(^{-3})) (8-hour TWA), based on the guidance value recommended for use in the reciprocal calculation procedure? If you disagree, please explain why. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 19: Do you agree with the introduction of a WEL (8-hour TWA) for 2-(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol set at 10 ppm (67.5 mg.m(^{-3})) ? If you disagree, please explain why.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 20: Do you agree with the introduction of a STEL for 2-(2-Butoxyethoxy) ethanol set at 15 ppm (101.2 mg.m(^{-3})) ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 21: Do you agree with the introduction of a WEL (8-hour TWA) for 2-(2-Methoxyethoxy) ethanol set at 10 ppm (50.1 mg.m(^{-3})) ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 22: Do you agree with the introduction of a new WEL (8-hour TWA) for diphosphorus pentoxide of 1 mg.m(^{-3}) ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 23: Do you agree with the introduction of a new WEL (8-hour TWA) for phosphine of 0.1 ppm (0.14 mg.m(^{-3})) ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SKIN NOTATIONS</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 24: Do you agree with the introduction of an Sk notation for acetonitrile, 2-aminoethanol, cyanamide, 2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethanol and resorcinol ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOMESTIC LIMIT CHANGES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 25: Do you agree that the STEL for bromine should be reduced from 0.3 ppm to 0.2 ppm ? If you disagree, please explain why.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Question 26:** Do you agree that the STEL for diphosphorus pentasulphide be reduced from 3 mg.m\(^{-3}\) to 2 mg.m\(^{-3}\) ? If you disagree, please explain why.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 27:** Do you agree with the introduction of a STEL for phosphorus pentachloride at 2 mg.m\(^{-3}\) ? If you disagree, please explain why.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GENERAL**

**Question 28:** In your view how well does this consultation document present the different policy issues involved in this matter? Please tick one box.

- [ ] Very Well
- [ ] Well
- [ ] Not Well
- [ ] Poorly

**Question 29:** Is there anything you particularly liked or disliked about this consultation? (Please add extra sheets if you wish)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Please return to:**

Steve Mason,
International Chemicals Unit, Disease Reduction Division, Health and Safety Executive,
9th Floor, South Wing, Rose Court,
2 Southwark Bridge,
London SE1 9HS

Email: steve.mason@hse.gsi.gov.uk

To reach him no later than 27 September 2006.

**Please note:** All views will be placed in HSE Information Centres unless you specifically state that this response, or a part of it, should be treated as confidential.
The full text of this and other Consultative Documents can be viewed and downloaded from the Health and Safety Executive web site on the internet:

www.hse.gov.uk/consult/index.htm

Consultative Documents are available from:
HSE Books, PO Box 1999
Sudbury, Suffolk CO10 2WA
Tel: 01787 881165
Fax: 01787 313995

Printed and published by the Health and Safety Executive
© Crown copyright 2006
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