

Health and Safety Commission Paper		HSC/05/119	
Meeting Date:		Open Gov. Status:	FOI: Fully OPEN
Type of Paper:		Paper File Ref:	
Exemptions:	None		

HEALTH AND SAFETY COMMISSION

The Nuclear Safety Advisory Committee's (NuSAC's) Reconstitution and Work Plan for the period 2005 to 2008

A Paper by the NuSAC Secretariat

Advisors: Colin Potter and Joyce Boorman

Cleared by Giles Denham

Issue

1. The reconstitution of NuSAC and its forward work programme.

Timing

2. Routine.

Recommendation

3. The Commission is asked to:
 - (i) agree the reconstitution of NuSAC and its terms of reference;
 - (ii) agree NuSAC's proposed Work Plan for 2005 to 2008; and
 - (iii) note the proposals for reappointment of members and vacancy filling.

Background

4. NuSAC currently meets three times each year and has an independent chair, with 20 members: 12 are independent, four nominated by CBI, and four by the TUC. All Members have areas of expertise which are relevant to the safety of the nuclear industry or its regulation by HSE (**Annex 1**).

5. As well the business undertaken by the main Committee, Members also work in five review groups so as to undertake NuSAC's broad workload more effectively (see **Annex 1**). Review Group members meet additionally to the main Committee. There is also a Sub-committee on Research (SCR) that meets separately and advises HSC each year on the adequacy of the HSC co-ordinated nuclear safety research programme.

6. NuSAC takes into consideration HSC/E's priorities and criteria when setting its priorities for subjects to be included in its work plan. NuSAC will also respond to direct requests for advice from HSC (and from Government Ministers via HSC). **Annex 2** outlines the Committee's recent achievements.

Argument

7. When the current NuSAC Chair was appointed in June 2004, the HSC Chair requested that there should be a thorough review of NuSAC's size, structure, methods of working and work plan, prior to its possible reconstitution. That review was concluded earlier this year and concluded that there was sufficient, ongoing work for the Committee to justify its reconstitution for a further three-year period. In September, HSE's DDG (Policy) agreed that the recommendations of the review be put to the Commission for consideration at the nuclear themed meeting in October. The NuSAC Chair is proposing to address the Commission at the same meeting.

8. Terms of Reference The review concluded that there was no need to amend the present terms of reference. These are

To advise HSC on:

(i) matters which are referred to it or which it considers require attention regarding nuclear safety policy and its implementation at nuclear installations;

(ii) the adequacy and balance of HSC's nuclear safety research programme.

9. Forward Work-Plan (Annex 3) Most licensed nuclear sites continue to pose a significant radiological hazard. Fortunately, the UK nuclear industry's conventional and nuclear safety record remains good. However, there have been major changes over the past few years, which has seen it change from an expanding industry to one where the focus is on decommissioning and clean-up. The new Nuclear Decommissioning Authority (NDA) anticipates that the management of most of its 20 nuclear sites will be put out to competitive tender. The effects of these changes are closely monitored and regulated by HSE to ensure that the current high standard of nuclear safety does not decline. NuSAC will play its part in using its expertise to monitor the effects of such changes and to be in a position to advise the HSC on these matters.

10. Very recently, the Government announced a large (£350 million a year) investment in modernising the nuclear weapons production facilities at the two Atomic Weapons Establishment sites, which are licensed and regulated by HSE. This project is likely to absorb significant regulatory resource and NuSAC will wish to take a close interest in the activities of the site licensee and ability of HSE to adequately regulate the sites without compromising standards or other regulatory priorities.

11. Committee Size (Annex 1) The review considered the size and composition of the committee with a view to possibly reducing its size. However, taking into account the breadth of the identified work programme, which encompasses many technical disciplines, and the need to adequately populate topic review groups, some of which are already operating with vacancies, it became clear that there was little scope to reduce the size of the Committee. It is therefore proposed that the present complement of 20 plus the Independent Chair is retained.

12. **Recruitment** There are currently 3 vacancies and a further 5 members will be required to retire shortly as they will have exceeded the Nolan recommended limit of 10-years service. In the past, potential new members have been notified to HSE by TUC or CBI, or identified through other contacts, and invited by HSE to join the Committee. In keeping with the more open environment in which HSC/E now operates, it is proposed to recruit 8 new members by means of a twin-track, competitive recruitment campaign. As at present, nominations will be invited from TUC and CBI, and an established network of contacts in other Departments, academia and elsewhere will also be invited to recommend new independent members. In addition, it is proposed that recruitment advertisements are placed in the press and announced on the HSC/E website. Nominated candidates or applicants would be invited for interview, with the most suitable selected and appointed in an open and transparent manner. Detailed arrangements for interview and appointment will be developed by the NuSAC Secretariat cleared with the HSC Chair.

Consultation

13. During the review of the Committee, a wide range of stakeholders was consulted (including Committee observers, HSE, relevant Government Departments and industry). The work plan for 2005/8 was drawn up in the light of discussions with industry contacts and in consultation with HSE.

Presentation

14. The reconstitution of NuSAC and the forward work plan will be notified to key stakeholders directly and announced via a press release on the HSC/E website. Invitations/advertisements for new members will be issued shortly.

Costs and Benefits

15. NuSAC provides a valuable source of independent advice to the Commission while imposing minimal burdens on the nuclear industry (and is highly regarded by it).

Financial/Resource Implications for HSE

16. NuSAC's direct cost for 2004/5 was £21,200; for 2005/6, £25,000 has been allocated. Members, though unpaid, can claim travel and subsistence, and loss of earning allowance, where appropriate. HSE Policy Group provides NuSAC's Secretariat, which currently absorbs about 2 staff-years effort per year.

18. The budgeted advertising cost for recruitment of new members is £10,000.

Environmental and Other implications

17. None.

Action

18. As recommended in paragraph 3.

ANNEX 1

Details of current NuSAC membership:

NAME	SPECIALISM	AFFILIATION	NO. YEARS AS MEMBER
Mrs Sheila Ashford	Public Interest Member	IND	3
Mr John Billard	Negotiations with Nuclear Industry	TUC	1
Prof Robin Bloomfield	Control & Instrumentation	IND	Appointed June 2005
Mr Andrew Clarke OBE	Reactor Operations	CBI	2
Prof Sue Cox	Occupational Health and Risk Management	IND	10
Mr Barry Cripwell	Health Physicist and Radiation Protection	TUC	4
Dr Wynne Davies	Radiation Protection	CBI	10
Dr Bryan Edmondson	Safety Aspects of Decommissioning	CBI	5
Dr C P (Paul) Haigh	Reactor Operations & Decommissioning	IND	4
Mr W (Bill) Heafield	Chemical Engineer and Designer	IND	2
Prof John Knott OBE	Metallurgy	IND	14
Dr Rod McKenzie	Emergency Arrangements	IND	7
Prof Neville Moray	Human Factors	IND	6
Mr Steve Napier	Radiochemistry	TUC	12
Prof David Owens	Control & Instrumentation	IND	10
Prof Susan Parry	Radiochemistry and Neutron Activation Analysis	TUC	14
Mr Steve Vranich	Chemical Engineering	IND and CHAIR	1
Dr Jim Whiston	Management of Safety in the Chemical Industry	CBI	12

Note: There are currently 3 Independent Member vacancies

Key: IND – Independent member
CBI – Confederation of British Industries nominee
TUC – Trade Union Congress nominee

NuSAC Review Groups

In order to achieve item (i) of its TofR more effectively, the Committee has established five Review Groups (RGs), which remain in existence for as long as NuSAC considers it of value; but all groups are reviewed annually as part of the process of preparing its forward work plan.

The RGs are currently:

- RG1 - Safety Management and Performance
- RG2 - Fuel Cycle Operations and Interim Safety
- RG3 - Nuclear Decommissioning Authority and Future Decommissioning
- RG4 - Emergencies (watching brief status only)
- RG5 - MoD-related Topics

In addition, to achieve item (ii) of its TofR, the Committee has established a standing Sub-Committee on Research (SCR). The role of the SCR is to advise the HSC, through the main Committee, on the adequacy and balance of the HSC “Co-ordinated programme of nuclear safety research” and whether the programme meets the guidelines set out by the Department of Trade and Industry (DTI). (The DTI is the Department accountable to Parliament for the safety of civil nuclear installations. Responsibility for the adequacy and balance of the nuclear safety research programme has been delegated to the HSC by the DTI.)

Annex 2

OVERVIEW OF RECENT NUSAC WORK

In recent years NuSAC has considered a wide range of nuclear safety issues (NuSAC's last published report on its work covering the period 1999-2001 can be found on HSE's website - <http://www.hse.gov.uk/aboutus/hsc/iacs/nusac/index.htm>).

NuSAC has usually published a biennial report on its work; from 2006 the Committee proposes to issue an annual report of its work (a recommendation from the NuSAC Review carried out in 2004).

Responses to Consultation Documents (CDs)

During the period 2003 to 2005 NuSAC has provided considered responses to a variety of CDs including:

- Government's 'Draft Nuclear Sites and Radioactive Substances Bill' (2003)
- HSC's 'Strategy for Workplace Health and Safety in Great Britain to 2010 and Beyond' (2003)
- EA's 'Improved Regulatory Arrangements for the Conditioning of Intermediate Level Radioactive waste on Nuclear Licensed Sites' (2003);
- DTI CD on Decommissioning Policy (2003)
- HSE's 'Proposal to Publish HSE Criteria for De-licensing Parts of, or Entire Sites, Licensed Under the Nuclear Installations Act 1965' (2004 and 2005)
- NSD's 'Pre-application Opinion on the Content of the Environmental Statements' for Chapelcross, Calder Hall, Dungeness and Sizewell Nuclear Power Stations (2004 and 2005)
- HSE's 'Proposals for Redrafted Guidance on the Nuclear Reactors (Environmental Impact Assessment for Decommissioning) Regulations 1999 (2005)
- HSE's 'The Review of the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 1995' (2005)
- CoRWM's Public and Stakeholder Engagement (2005).

Working with other Advisory Committees (ACs)

NuSAC takes the opportunity to interact and work with other related ACs when appropriate. Recently, this has included:

- Defra's Radioactive Waste Management Advisory Committee (RWMAC) on a joint study to review the regulation of nuclear safety and the management of radioactive materials and radioactive waste within the United Kingdom, the report of which was published in March 2003 by HSE
- liaison with CoRWM (the Committee on Radioactive Waste Management)
- initiated discussions with HSE's Shipbuilding and Ship Repairing Health and Safety Consultative Committee addressing conventional safety performance at nuclear shipyards.
- Defence Nuclear Safety Committee (DNSC) – NuSAC's counterpart in MoD's regulatory regime.

Advice to HSC on the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority (NDA)

In July 2004 NuSAC advised the HSC Chair of its concerns about the possible deleterious effects on safety of a demanding competition schedule set by the Government for the nascent NDA. On that advice the HSC Chair passed on those concerns the SoS for consideration.

NUSAC DRAFT WORK PLAN 2005 to 2008

This Annex gives NuSAC's draft Work Plan for 2005 to 2008.

Topics for NuSAC's Work Plan were agreed and approved by members at its meeting in March 2005, but the timing of the issues may change depending on possible changes in priority and on the view of the Chair.

The Work Plan was developed in accordance with guidance in HSE's procedural administration document 'A Guide to Managing HSC Advisory Committees' (GAP 2), by using the Commission's set of indicative criteria. NuSAC also reviewed its Plan against both HSC's and HSE's Strategic Goals – in particular having regard to HSE's Major Hazards PSA target. In addition, NuSAC has also developed and agreed the related paper 'papers to meetings' to complement the work plan (**Appendix A to this Annex**).

NUCLEAR SAFETY ADVISORY COMMITTEE: REVIEW GROUP AND SUB-COMMITTEE ON RESEARCH WORK PLAN: 2005 TO 2008

REVIEW GROUP	TOPIC	WHEN	WHY	SIGNIFICANCE TO HSE CRITERIA (key to HSE Criteria is given at end of the document)	RELEVANT PLANNED PAPERS	COMMENTS	PRIORITY	TASK
All	Report of the work of NuSAC	To be completed at the end of each year	To formally report NuSAC's work to HSC and the public	e, h	To be presented at the annual public NuSAC meeting (in March each year?)	On an annual basis	Yes	Repetitive task
RG1 (Safety Management and Performance)	a) Safety Performance Review of Nuclear Sites	Late each year	Promote continuous improvement and emulation NII does not have resources to pursue	a, f	Next paper scheduled for March 2006	Currently an annual update paper		Repetitive task
	b) Dounreay Safety Performance update	Ongoing	Important use of contractors in safety critical areas Examine whether safety improvement is being maintained.	f, g, h	Scheduled for July 2005	Currently an annual update paper RG1 visited on		

			(Recent senior management changes give added impetus)			October 2004 Task should be completed in July 2005		
	c) NII Improvement Programme Review: Effectiveness of NII's use of EFQM Model	4 th quarter 2004	To maintain an overview of NII's effectiveness as the UK regulator of Nuclear Safety	g, h	Paper presented at March 2005 meeting			
	d) NII's Review Study of Safety Assessment Principles (SAPs)	2 year study		f, g, h	Initial paper on TofR presented in March 2004 with an update in July 2004 Final paper scheduled for October 2005	Initial paper followed by RG1 monitoring and input into proposed NII consultation		
	e) NII's implementation of Environmental Impact	2006?	Clarification between EA and HSE	e, f, h	Paper scheduled for 2006 and beyond (no	Follow-up of introduction of EIAD Regs and		

	Assessment Directive (EIAD) regulations				specific date)	first UK assessment in 2003		
	f) Safety Management Arrangements at GE Healthcare Ltd (formerly Amersham)	2 nd quarter 2005	Changes in company practices	b, f	Paper scheduled for March 2006	Previous paper presented in 1999	Yes	Urgent
	g) Management of Contractors at BNFL Sellafield	1 st quarter 2005	Concern over contractor behaviour Level of contractors higher during decommissioning Prevention exercise	a, b, f, h	Paper scheduled for July 2005 May need to defer to October 2005		Yes	Urgent
	h) BE's Performance Improvement Programme		Looking for improvements in safety and operational performance	a, b, f, h	Paper scheduled for October 2005	Previous paper presented in July 2004 May ease off dependent on paper		

						in October 2005		
	i) Review with the NDA Contractors as two years into their programme	2007	Review management of contractors overall Safety performance of Contractors Safety performance of Contractor's contractors Timing of 'competition' to BNFL and UKAEA	e, f, h		Joint working with RG3 Need to address the lack of sharing of information between contractors	Yes	
	j) Safety Management and Control of UF6 at Springfields		Issue of new site licence	g		Exploration of safety management concerning UF6		
	k) Safety Management of Magnox Reactors	4 th quarter in 2005	Final years of operation	f, g, h	Paper scheduled for March 2006			

RG2 (Fuel Cycle Operations and Interim Safety)	a) Sellafield Liquors	Through-out period of the plan	High political profile Potential high radioactive hazard Possibility of NDA impact	d, e, g	Paper presented in November 2004 Update paper scheduled for October 2005	Annual update papers New treatment process Risk to containment building		
	b) Windscale Piles Decommissioning Project	Dependent on feedback from UKAEA	Potential high radioactive hazard	g		To include EA/Nirex consultation on authorisations for disposal of radioactive waste UKAEA update report to RG2 in February 2005		
	c) Sellafield Pondwater Activity Update	3rd quarter of each	Ensure activity continues to be under control	e, g, h	Paper scheduled for October 2005	Annual update of situation (three		

		year	Continual monitoring required Potential impact on reprocessing rates, storage capacity at NPPs Risk of increased operator dose			previous papers)		
	d) Volumes of PCM at Sellafield, Dounreay and AWE	Through-out period of the plan	Little public attention has been given to these wastes to date Review storage and processing of wastes in respect of safety and consistency of approach	d, e, g	Initial paper scheduled for July 2005	Opportunity for shared experience		
	e) Orphan fuel sources	To start late 2005/ early 2006	Real policy issues over orphan fuels	g, h		Possible interaction with CoRWM's Waste Inventory Working		

						Group		
	f) ILW Conditioning – new EA arrangements		NuSAC was consulted on new regulatory arrangements via workshops etc	d, e, h	Review paper for July 2005			Task
	g) Sellafield legacy wastes	Early 2006	Concerns over safety of structures	c, e, g	Paper to March 2006 meeting			
RG3 (Nuclear Decommis-sioning Authority and Decommis-sioning Policy)	a) Monitoring the NDA Bill	Through to mid 2005	Significant potential policy and safety issues in the new arrangements	b, e, f, g, h	i) Update paper presented in July 2004 ii) 'Close Out' paper scheduled for July 2005			Task
	b) Review of Operation of Statutory MoUs following Set-up of NDA	2006						

RG4 (Emergency Arrangements)	a) Monitoring the work of NEPLG	Throughout the period of the plan	Effectiveness of emergency preparedness to deal with a nuclear emergency	b, d, e, g, h	Scheduled for July 2005	NEPLG is principal source of information	Priority	
	b) Observation at national media arrangements at Level 3 exercise	When Level 3 exercise occurs	Limited testing of such arrangements in the past NuSAC raised concerns in the past	b, d, g, h				
	c) Public information and risk monitoring	Throughout the period of the plan	Concerns of arrangements for monitoring radiation levels around sites	g, h				
	d) Introduction of the Civil Contingencies Act and its effect on Emergency Planning			b, e, f, g, h				Task
	e) Boundary between the Safety Case and contingency planning					Possible RG1 involvement		
	f) Review							

	consultation processes with public and stakeholders which impact on public perception							
RG5 (MoD Related Topics)	Review efforts made at MoD sites to manage/change public perception of safety	March 2006 at earliest	Review MoD sites	g, h				
	b) NII regulation at MoD sites, and the inspection thereof of MoD authorisation on the adjacent sites	November 2004	Affects on safety management Examine the effectiveness of the regimes Periodic safety reviews of plant and facilities Update on Devonport position	d, f	Two planned Paperes: Safety Management: Arrangements at other Nuclear Submarine Establishments:- i) - Rosyth Dockyard - running down of Rosyth; Safety	Need a date to visit Rosyth in 2006		

					Management: Arrangements at other Nuclear Submarine Establishments:- ii) Arrangements at Barrow (where submarines are built and their reactors first taken critical) - maintenance of expertise	Barrow paper for either July or October 2005		
	c) MoD radioactive waste and decommissioning policy and practice	July 2005	Compare and contrast with civil sites	e, g, h		NII view Update on MoD Policy		

SCR (Sub Committ ee on Researc h)	a) Monitor general progress of the overall UK nuclear safety research programme	Througho ut the period of the plan		d, e, g, h				
	b) Review topic areas from the new Nuclear Research Index	Througho ut the period of the plan		d, e, g, h				
	c) Report to HSC annually on adequacy of HSC 'Co- ordinated programme of nuclear safety research'	Througho ut the period of the plan	Required under NuSAC's Terms of Reference	d, e, g, h		Reports to HSC in May each year		

HSE Criteria

- | | |
|--|---|
| a) High numbers/rate of injury/ill health | b) Large numbers of workers/public |
| c) Defined causes of harm | d) Multiplicity of representative organisations |
| e) External requirements (eg European law, public concern) | f) Change of culture |
| g) High/major hazard | h) Societal concern |

NUCLEAR SAFETY ADVISORY COMMITTEE (NUSAC) WORK PLAN 2005 TO 2008: PAPERS TO MEETINGS

MARCH 2005:

No.	Activity	Lead Organisation	NuSAC Review Group Lead	For Main or Members Only Meeting	Comments
1	NII CI's Report	NII		Members Only	
2	Policy Issues Report	HSE's Policy Group		Members Only	
3	Safety Performance Overview of the Major UK Nuclear Licensees - Annual Update	BE/BNFL/ UKAEA/MoD	RG1	Main	
4	Monitoring of new Health Protection Agency (incorporating the NRPB)	NRPB	RG1	Main	Action 78/3/1 (Nov 2002) – Deferred to March 2006
5	Annual update on developments at Dounreay	UKAEA	RG1 and RG2	Main	Deferred to July 2005
6	NII Improvement Programme (EQFM)	NII	RG1	Main	Action 81/3/14 (October 2003)
7	PCM processes at BNFL, DNE, AWE	BNFL/UKAEA/ AWE	RG2	Main	Deferred to July 2005
8	NuSAC's Perception of Emergency Arrangements	-	RG4	Main	Deferred to July 2005

9	Update of Safety Management Arrangements at Amersham	Amersham	RG1	Main	Update of Safety Management Arrangements at Amersham Deferred to March 2006
10	BE Audit – Close Out Report including Lessons Learned	BE	RG1	Main	Deferred
11	Lessons Learned from Audits/Inspections (Dounreay, Sellafield and BE)	NII	RG1	Main	Deferred
12	Proposal to Publish HSE Criteria for Delicensing Parts of, or Entire Sites, Licensed Under the Nuclear Installations Act 1965	HSE	-	Members Only	

JULY 2005:

No.	Activity	Lead Organisation	NuSAC Review Group Lead	Comments
1	NII CI's Report	NII		
2	Policy Issues Report	HSE's Policy Group		
3	Management of Contractors at BNFL Sellafield	BNFL	RG1	
4	NDA Close Out Report	NII/DTI?	RG3	Deferred to October

				2005
5	OCNS Update	DTI	RG5	
6	NII's Inspection Programme Update	NII	RG1	
7	MoD Waste & Decommissioning Policy	NII/MoD	RG5	
8	NuSAC's Perception of Emergency Arrangements	-	RG4	Transferred from March 2005
9	Annual update on developments at Dounreay	UKAEA	RG1 and RG2	Transferred from March 2005 following RG1's visit on 14/15 October 2004
10	Observations on 'Towards Operational Excellence' given in July 2004 Observations on plant availability from WANO paper	BE & BNFL Magnox	RG1	Action 82/3/9 from November 2004
11	PCM processes at Sellafield, Dounreay and AWE	BNFL/UKAEA/ AWE	RG2	Transferred from March 2005
12	ILW Conditioning and new EA Arrangements	EA	RG2	Review paper
13	Monitoring the NEPLG		RG4	
14	NII Resources	NII	RG1	Deferred from March 2005 Deferred to October 2005

OCTOBER 2005:

No.	Activity	Lead Organisation	NuSAC Review Group Lead	Comments
1	NII CI's Report	NII		
2	Policy Issues Report	HSE's Policy Group		
3	Public Perception Review of MoD sites safety	MoD/NII	RG5	Deferred to March 2006
4	SAPs Review Study – Final Report	NII		Action 82/2/17 from July 2004 Main meeting Deferred to March 2006 as timetable for project extended
5	BE's Performance Improvement Programme	BE	RG1	Follow-up to paper presented in July 2004
6	Sellafield Liquors	BNFL	RG2	Annual update paper Deferred to March 2006
7	Sellafield Pondwater Activity Update	BNFL	RG2	Annual update paper
8	NDA Close Out Report	NDA	RG3	Deferred from July 2005
9	NII Resources	NII	RG1	Deferred from March and July 2005
10	Windscale Piles Project Update Report	UKAEA	RG2	
11	PCM processes at Sellafield, Dounreay and AWE	BNG/UKAEA/AWE	RG2	Follow-up to paper presented in July 2005
12				

MARCH 2006:

No.	Activity	Lead Organisation	NuSAC Review Group Lead	Comments
1	NII CI's Report	NII		Standing report for each meeting
2	Policy Issues Report	HSE's Policy Group		Standing report for each meeting
3	Safety Performance Overview of the Major UK Nuclear Licensees - Annual Update	BE/BNFL/UKAEA/MoD	RG1	Standing report for each March meeting
4	NII's implementation of EIAD Regulations	NII	RG1	Follow-up of introduction of EIAD regulations and first UK assessment in 2003
5	Safety Management of Magnox Reactors	BNFL Magnox	RG1	
6	Sellafield Legacy Wastes	BNFL	RG2	
7	Monitoring of new Health Protection Agency (incorporating the NRPB)	HPA-RPB	RG1	Action 78/3/1 (Nov 2002) – Transferred from March 2005
8	Update of Safety Management Arrangements at Amersham (part of GE Healthcare)	GE Healthcare	RG1	Update of Safety Management Arrangements at Amersham Deferred from March 2005
9	Public Perception Review of MoD sites safety	MoD and NII	RG5	Deferred from October 2005

10	SAPs Review Study – Final Report	NII	RG1	Deferred from October 2005
11	Sellafield Liquors	BNFL	RG2	Deferred from October 2005

JULY 2006:

No.	Activity	Lead Organisation	NuSAC Review Group Lead	Comments
1	NII CI's Report	NII		Standing report for each meeting
2	Policy Issues Report	HSE's Policy Group		Standing report for each meeting
3	Management of Contractors at BNFL Sellafield	BNG	RG1	Follow-up paper from July 2005 (paper NuSAC(2005)P6)

NOVEMBER 2006:

No.	Activity	Lead Organisation	NuSAC Review Group Lead	Comments
1	NII CI's Report	NII		Standing report for each meeting
2	Policy Issues Report	HSE's Policy Group		Standing report for each meeting

2007 AND BEYOND: FUTURE PAPERS (WITH NO TIME INDICATION):

No.	Activity	Lead Organisation	NuSAC Review Group Lead	Comments
1	NII CI's Report (Standing report for each meeting)			
2	Policy Issues Report (Standing report for each meeting)			
3	Safety Performance Overview of the Major UK Nuclear Licensees - Annual Update (Standing report for March meeting each year)	BE/BNFL/ UKAEA/MoD	RG1	
4	<p>Safety Management: Arrangements at other Nuclear Submarine Establishments:- i) - Rosyth Dockyard - running down of Rosyth;</p> <p>Safety Management: Arrangements at other Nuclear Submarine Establishments:- ii) Arrangements at Barrow (where submarines are built and their reactors first taken critical) - maintenance of expertise.</p>	MoD	RG5	
5	Regulatory Guidance Documents on 'Decommissioning on Nuclear Licensed Sites' and 'Management of Nuclear Matter, Including Radioactive Materials and Waste, on Nuclear Licensed Sites'	HSE-NII	RG3	Action 73/2/12
6	Review of operation of statutory MoUs following set-up of NDA		RG3	
7	Relicensing of British Energy (NE/SNL)	BE	RG1	

8	NII's Implementation of Environment Impact Assessment for Decommissioning (EIAD) Regulations	NII	RG1	Follow-up from report given by CI at October 2003 Members Only meeting
---	--	-----	-----	--

OVERVIEW OF RECENT NUSAC WORK

In recent years NuSAC has considered a wide range of nuclear safety issues (NuSAC's last published report on its work covering the period 1999-2001 can be found on HSE's website - <http://www.hse.gov.uk/aboutus/hsc/iacs/nusac/index.htm>). NuSAC has usually published a biennial report on its work; from 2006 the Committee proposes to issue an annual report of its work (a recommendation from the NuSAC Review carried out in 2004).

Responses to Consultation Documents (CDs)

During the period 2003 to 2005 NuSAC has provided considered responses to a variety of CDs including:

- Government's 'Draft Nuclear Sites and Radioactive Substances Bill' (2003)
- HSC's 'Strategy for Workplace Health and Safety in Great Britain to 2010 and Beyond' (2003)
- EA's 'Improved Regulatory Arrangements for the Conditioning of Intermediate Level Radioactive waste on Nuclear Licensed Sites' (2003);
- DTI CD on Decommissioning Policy (2003)
- HSE's 'Proposal to Publish HSE Criteria for De-licensing Parts of, or Entire Sites, Licensed Under the Nuclear Installations Act 1965' (2004 and 2005)
- NSD's 'Pre-application Opinion on the Content of the Environmental Statements' for Chapelcross, Calder Hall, Dungeness and Sizewell Nuclear Power Stations (2004 and 2005)
- HSE's 'Proposals for Redrafted Guidance on the Nuclear Reactors (Environmental Impact Assessment for Decommissioning) Regulations 1999' (2005)
- HSE's 'The Review of the Reporting of Injuries, Diseases and Dangerous Occurrences Regulations 1995' (2005)
- CoRWM's Public and Stakeholder Engagement (2005).

Working with other Advisory Committees (ACs)

NuSAC takes the opportunity to interact and work with other related ACs when appropriate. Recently, this has included:

- Defra's Radioactive Waste Management Advisory Committee (RWMAC) on a joint study to review the regulation of nuclear safety and the management of radioactive materials and radioactive waste within the United Kingdom, the report of which was published in March 2003 by HSE
- liaison with CoRWM (the Committee on Radioactive Waste Management)

- initiated discussions with HSE's Shipbuilding and Ship Repairing Health and Safety Consultative Committee addressing conventional safety performance at nuclear shipyards.
- Defence Nuclear Safety Committee (DNSC) – NuSAC's counterpart in MoD's regulatory regime.

Advice to HSC on the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority (NDA)

In July 2004 NuSAC advised the HSC Chair of its concerns about the possible deleterious effects on safety of a demanding competition schedule set by the Government for the nascent NDA. On that advice the HSC Chair passed on those concerns to the SoS for consideration.